

# Non-cuspidal representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$

Marc Kohlhaw

## Notation

- $F$  non-archimedean local field with ring of integers  $\mathfrak{o}$  and residue field  $k$
- $G = \mathrm{GL}_2(F) \supset B = N \rtimes T$  where  $B$  is the standard Borel,  $T$  the standard torus and  $N$  the unipotent radical of  $B$

## Recall

If  $\sigma \in \mathrm{Rep}(T)$  then we can inflate it to a representation of  $B$  along the quotient map  $B \rightarrow B/N = T$  which we still call  $\sigma$ . Since  $B \backslash G$  is compact, the Duality Theorem (see Talk 3, Thm. 5.6) tells us that

$$(\mathrm{Ind}_B^G \sigma)^\vee \cong \mathrm{Ind}_B^G(\delta_B^{-1} \otimes \sigma^\vee)$$

where  $\delta_B\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}\right) = \|a^{-1}b\|$  is the modular character of  $B$ .

## The Jacquet module

**Construction.** Let  $(\pi, V) \in \mathrm{Rep}(G)$ . Define  $V(N) \subseteq V$  to be the  $\mathbf{C}$ -subvector space spanned by the vectors  $v - \pi(n)v$ ,  $n \in N$ ,  $v \in V$ . Set  $V_N := V/V(N)$ . This is the unique maximal quotient of  $V$  on which  $N$  acts trivially. It admits an action of  $B/N = T$ . The resulting representation  $(\pi_N, V_N)$  is called the *Jacquet module* of  $(\pi, V)$ . We obtain a functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Rep}(G) &\rightarrow \mathrm{Rep}(T) \\ (\pi, V) &\mapsto (\pi_N, V_N) \end{aligned}$$

which is exact and additive.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $(\pi, V) \in \mathrm{Rep}(G)$  irreducible. The following are equivalent:*

- The Jacquet module of  $(\pi, V)$  is non-zero,*
- $\pi$  is equivalent to a subrepresentation of  $\mathrm{Ind}_B^G \chi$  for some character  $\chi$  of  $T$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\chi$  be a character of  $T$ , viewed as a representation of  $B$  trivial on  $N$ . By Frobenius reciprocity,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G(\pi, \mathrm{Ind}_B^G \chi) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_B(\pi, \chi).$$

Since  $\chi$  is trivial on  $N$ , any  $B$ -homomorphism  $\pi \rightarrow \chi$  factors through the map  $\pi \rightarrow \pi_N$  (as a map of  $T$ -representations), hence

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G(\pi, \mathrm{Ind}_B^G \chi) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_T(\pi_N, \chi).$$

Thus, if  $\pi$  embeds into  $\mathrm{Ind}_B^G \chi$  then clearly  $\pi_N$  is non-zero, showing that (ii) implies (i).

For the converse, suppose that  $V_N \neq 0$ . If we can show that it admits an irreducible  $T$ -quotient then we are done. Indeed, such a representation is necessarily one-dimensional (cf. Talk 2, Cor. 21), i.e. a character, say  $\chi$ . The quotient map  $\pi_N \rightarrow \chi$  corresponds to a nontrivial map  $\pi \rightarrow \mathrm{Ind}_B^G \chi$  by Frobenius reciprocity, which is an embedding since  $\pi$  is irreducible.

It remains to construct such a quotient. Let  $0 \neq v \in V$ . Since  $V$  is irreducible over  $G$ , its translates  $\pi(g)v$ ,  $g \in G$ , span  $V$  over  $\mathbf{C}$ . On the other hand, since  $\pi$  is smooth,  $v$  is fixed by some compact open subgroup  $K'$  of  $K_0 := \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathfrak{o})$ . As  $K_0/K'$  is finite, there are only finitely many distinct elements  $\pi(k)v$ ,  $k \in K_0$ , say  $v_1, \dots, v_r$ . By the Iwasawa decomposition  $G = BK_0$  (see Talk 3, Prop. 2.1) these vectors generate  $V$  over  $B$ , hence their images generate  $V_N$  over  $T$ , so that  $V_N$  is finitely generated as a  $T$ -representation. Let  $\{u_1, \dots, u_t\}$ ,  $t \geq 1$ , be a minimal generating set. By Zorn's lemma there exists a  $T$ -subspace  $U \subseteq V_N$  containing  $u_1, \dots, u_{t-1}$  which is maximal for the property that  $u_t \notin U$ . Therefore,  $U \subseteq V_N$  is a maximal  $T$ -subspace, so that  $V_N/U$  is irreducible.  $\square$

**Definition.** An irreducible smooth representation  $(\pi, V)$  of  $G$  is called *cuspidal* if  $V_N$  is zero. Otherwise  $\pi$  is called *non-cuspidal* or to be in the *principal series*.

**Proposition 2.** *Any non-cuspidal representation of  $G$  is admissible.*

*Proof.* Passing to subrepresentations preserves admissibility, hence by Prop. 1 it suffices to show that if  $\chi$  is a character of  $T$  then  $\mathrm{Ind}_B^G \chi$  is admissible.

Write  $\mathrm{Ind}_B^G \chi = (\Sigma, X)$  (cf. Talk 2, Construction on p. 8f.) and let  $K \subseteq K_0 = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathfrak{o})$  be a compact open subgroup. The space  $X^K$  of  $K$ -fixed points in  $X$  consists of functions  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  such that

$$f(bgk) = \chi(b)f(g) \quad \forall b \in B, g \in G, k \in K. \quad (*)$$

By the Iwasawa decomposition,  $B \backslash G / K$  is finite, and on each double coset  $BgK$  there is at most one function (up to scalar) satisfying (\*). It follows that  $X^K$  is finite-dimensional.  $\square$

### More Notation

- Let  $(\pi, V) \in \text{Rep}(G)$  and  $\phi$  a character of  $F^\times$ . The *twist*  $(\phi\pi, V) \in \text{Rep}(G)$  of  $\pi$  by  $\phi$  is defined via

$$\phi\pi(g) := \phi(\det g)\pi(g), \quad g \in G.$$

- Let  $\chi = \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2$  be a character of  $T$  and  $\phi$  a character of  $F^\times$ . The *twist*  $\phi \cdot \chi$  of  $\chi$  by  $\phi$  is the character of  $T$  defined by

$$\phi \cdot \chi := \phi\chi_1 \otimes \phi\chi_2.$$

This is compatible with twists of  $G$ -representations in the sense that there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\text{Ind}_B^G(\phi \cdot \chi) \cong \phi \text{Ind}_B^G \chi.$$

- Let  $\sigma \in \text{Rep}(T)$ . We define

$$\iota_B^G \sigma := \text{Ind}_B^G(\delta_B^{-1/2} \otimes \sigma).$$

This defines a functor  $\text{Rep}(T) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(G)$  called *normalized smooth induction*. The Duality Theorem then reads

$$(\iota_B^G \sigma)^\vee \cong \iota_B^G(\sigma^\vee).$$

The following result explains the structure of the Jacquet module of an induced representation.

**Lemma 3** (Restriction-Induction). *Let  $\sigma \in \text{Rep}(T)$ . There is a short exact sequence of  $T$ -representations*

$$0 \rightarrow \sigma^w \otimes \delta_B^{-1} \rightarrow (\text{Ind}_B^G \sigma)_N \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow 0$$

where  $w = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  is the permutation matrix and  $\sigma^w(t) := \sigma(wtw^{-1})$ ,  $t \in T$ .

The main result needed for the classification is

**Theorem 4** (Irreducibility Criterion). *Let  $\chi = \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2$  be a character of  $T$ . Then*

- (i)  $\text{Ind}_B^G \chi$  is reducible iff  $\chi = \phi \cdot \mathbf{1}_T$  or  $\phi \cdot \delta_B^{-1}$  for some character  $\phi$  of  $F^\times$ .
- (i)'  $\iota_B^G \chi$  is reducible iff  $\chi = \phi \cdot \delta_B^{\pm 1/2}$  for some character  $\phi$  of  $F^\times$ .
- (ii) Suppose that  $\text{Ind}_B^G \chi$  is reducible. Then
  - (a) its  $G$ -composition length is 2,
  - (b) one composition factor is one-dimensional, the other is infinite-dimensional,
  - (c) it admits a one-dimensional  $G$ -subrepresentation iff  $\chi = \phi \cdot \mathbf{1}_T$  for some character  $\phi$  of  $F^\times$ ,

(d) it admits a one-dimensional  $G$ -quotient iff  $\chi = \phi \cdot \delta_B^{-1}$  for some character  $\phi$  of  $F^\times$ .

**Remark.** A smooth representation  $(\pi, V)$  of  $G$  has a *composition series* if there is a chain of  $G$ -subspaces

$$V = V_0 \supset V_1 \supset \cdots \supset V_l = 0$$

such that  $V_j/V_{j+1}$  is irreducible for each  $j$ . The subquotients  $V_j/V_{j+1}$  are called the *composition factors*, and the *composition length* of  $\pi$  is the number of factors. It is independent of the composition series.

We need the following result on homomorphisms between induced representations:

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $\chi, \xi$  be characters of  $T$ . Then*

$$\dim_{\mathbf{C}} \mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathrm{Ind}_B^G \chi, \mathrm{Ind}_B^G \xi) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \xi = \chi \text{ or } \chi^w \delta_B^{-1}, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* By Frobenius reciprocity,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathrm{Ind}_B^G \chi, \mathrm{Ind}_B^G \xi) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_T((\mathrm{Ind}_B^G \chi)_N, \xi).$$

By Restriction-Induction, there is a short exact sequence of  $T$ -representations

$$0 \rightarrow \chi^w \delta_B^{-1} \rightarrow (\mathrm{Ind}_B^G \chi)_N \rightarrow \chi \rightarrow 0.$$

If  $\chi \neq \chi^w \delta_B^{-1}$  then this sequence splits and we are done. On the other hand, if  $\chi = \chi^w \delta_B^{-1}$  then  $\mathrm{Ind}_B^G \chi$  is irreducible by Thm. 4 and we are also done.  $\square$

**Remark.** Prop. 5 gives a counter-example to the converse of Schur's Lemma for representations of locally profinite groups:  $\mathrm{End}_G(\mathrm{Ind}_B^G \mathbb{1}_T)$  is one-dimensional, but  $\mathrm{Ind}_B^G \mathbb{1}_T$  is not irreducible: It admits the trivial  $G$ -representation  $\mathbb{1}_G$  as a one-dimensional subrepresentation with embedding  $\mathbb{1}_G \rightarrow \mathrm{Ind}_B^G \mathbb{1}_T$  given by the constant functions. This leads us to

## The Steinberg representation

The irreducible  $G$ -quotient of  $\mathrm{Ind}_B^G \mathbb{1}_T$  is called the *Steinberg representation* of  $G$ , denoted  $\mathrm{St}_G$ , i.e. it is defined by the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{1}_G \rightarrow \mathrm{Ind}_B^G \mathbb{1}_T \rightarrow \mathrm{St}_G \rightarrow 0. \quad (*)$$

By twisting with a character  $\phi$  of  $F^\times$  we obtain the *special representations*  $\phi \cdot \mathrm{St}_G$  of  $G$ :

$$0 \rightarrow \phi \cdot \mathbb{1}_G \rightarrow \mathrm{Ind}_B^G(\phi \cdot \mathbb{1}_T) \rightarrow \phi \cdot \mathrm{St}_G \rightarrow 0.$$

Taking the smooth dual of  $(*)$  we get

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{St}_G^\vee \rightarrow \mathrm{Ind}_B^G \delta_B^{-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{1}_G \rightarrow 0.$$

Prop. 4 implies  $\text{St}_G \cong \text{St}_G^\vee$ . Indeed, there is a nontrivial map  $\text{Ind}_B^G \mathbb{1}_T \rightarrow \text{Ind}_B^G \delta_B^{-1}$ . It must contain  $\mathbb{1}_G$  in its kernel because otherwise  $\text{Ind}_B^G \delta_B^{-1}$  would admit a one-dimensional subrepresentation which by the Irreducibility Criterion is not the case. Thus we get an induced map  $\text{St}_G \rightarrow \text{Ind}_B^G \delta_B^{-1}$ . Its image is irreducible, hence contained in  $\text{St}_G^\vee$ , giving a nontrivial map  $\text{St}_G \rightarrow \text{St}_G^\vee$  which is an isomorphism since  $\text{St}_G^\vee$  is irreducible.

**Theorem 6** (Classification Theorem). *The following is a complete list of the isomorphism classes of irreducible non-cuspidal representations of  $G$ :*

- (i) *the irreducible induced representations  $\iota_B^G \chi$ , where  $\chi \neq \phi \cdot \delta_B^{\pm 1/2}$  for any character  $\phi$  of  $F^\times$ ,*
- (ii) *the one-dimensional representations  $\phi \circ \det$ , where  $\phi$  is a character of  $F^\times$ ,*
- (iii) *the special representations  $\phi \cdot \text{St}_G$ , where  $\phi$  is a character of  $F^\times$ .*

*The classes in this list are all distinct except that, in (i), we have  $\iota_B^G \chi \cong \iota_B^G \chi^w$ .*