

# Algebraic cycle complexes

Marc Levine

June 2, 2008

- ▶ Algebraic cycles and algebraic  $K$ -theory
- ▶ The Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjectures
- ▶ Bloch's cycle complexes
- ▶ Suslin's cycle complexes

Algebraic cycles  
and  
algebraic  $K$ -theory

# Algebraic cycles

$X$ : an algebraic variety over a field  $k$ .

An **algebraic cycle** on  $X$  is a finite  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combination of irreducible reduced closed subschemes of  $X$ :

$$Z := \sum_{i=1}^r n_i Z_i.$$

Say  $Z$  has (co)dimension  $q$  if each  $Z_i$  has (co)dimension  $q$ .

$z^q(X) :=$  the group of codimension  $q$  algebraic cycles on  $X$ .

$z_q(X) :=$  the group of dimension  $q$  algebraic cycles on  $X$ .

# Algebraic cycles

## Functorialities

$X \rightsquigarrow z^q(X)$  is contravariantly functorial for flat maps:

$$f^*(Z) := \sum_i \text{mult}_i \cdot W_i.$$

$W_i$  the irreducible components of  $f^{-1}(Z)$ .

$X \rightsquigarrow z_q(X)$  is covariantly functorial for proper maps:

$$f_*(Z) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \dim f(Z) < \dim Z \\ [k(Z) : k(f(Z))] \cdot f(Z) & \text{if } \dim f(Z) = \dim Z. \end{cases}$$

# Algebraic cycles

## Partially defined pull-back

$f : Y \rightarrow X$  a morphism of smooth varieties,  $Z \subset X$  a subvariety.

Suppose  $Z$  and  $f^{-1}(Z)$  both have pure codimension  $q$ .

Define

$$f^*(Z) := \sum_i \text{mult}_i \cdot W_i.$$

$W_i$  the irreducible components of  $f^{-1}(Z)$ ,

$\text{mult}_i =$  Serre's intersection multiplicity:

$$\text{mult}_i = \sum_j (-1)^j \ell_{\mathcal{O}_{Y,W_i}} \left[ \text{Tor}_j^{\mathcal{O}_{X,Z}}(\mathcal{O}_{Y,W_i}, k(Z)) \right].$$

# Algebraic cycles

## External product

Given subvarieties  $Z \subset X$ ,  $W \subset Y$ , the product  $Z \times_k W$  is a union of subvarieties of  $X \times_k Y$  (with multiplicities in char.  $p > 0$ ):

$$Z \times_k W := \sum_j m_j T_j$$

This extends to the [external product](#)

$$\times : z_q(X) \otimes z_p(Y) \rightarrow z_{p+q}(X \times_k Y).$$

External product is compatible with proper push-forward and with pull-back.

# Algebraic cycles

## Adequate equivalence relations

To make the groups of algebraic cycles look more like a (co)homology theory, we introduce an **adequate equivalence relation**, analogous to homology of singular chains.

An adequate equivalence relation  $\sim$  is given by a subgroup  $R_{\sim}^q(X) \subset z^q(X)$  for each smooth  $X$ , satisfying:

# Algebraic cycles

## Adequate equivalence relations

1. For  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  proper of codimension  $d$ ,  
 $f_*(R_{\sim}^q(Y)) \subset R_{\sim}^{q+d}(X)$ .
2. For  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  flat,  $f^*(R_{\sim}^q(X)) \subset R_{\sim}^q(Y)$ .
3. For  $Z \in R_{\sim}^q(X)$ ,  $W \in z^p(X)$ ,  $Z \cdot W$  is in  $R_{\sim}^{p+q}(X)$  if defined.
4. Given  $Z \in z^q(X)$ ,  $W \in z^p(Y \times X)$ , there is a  $Z' \in z^q(X)$  with  $Z - Z' \in R_{\sim}^q(X)$  and with  $Y \times Z' \cdot W$  defined.
5.  $[0] - [\infty] \in R_{\sim}^1(\mathbb{P}^1)$ .

*Note.* One often restricts to smooth projective varieties.

# Algebraic cycles

## Adequate equivalence relations

Set  $A_{\sim}^q(X) := z^q(X)/R_{\sim}^q(X)$ . Then

1.  $A_{\sim}^*(X) := \bigoplus_q A_{\sim}^q(X)$  is a commutative, graded ring with unit  $[X] \in A_{\sim}^0(X)$ .
2.  $f^* : A_{\sim}^q(X) \rightarrow A_{\sim}^q(Y)$  is well-defined for all  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  in  $\mathbf{Sm}/k$ :  $f^*(Z) := \text{intersect } Y \times Z \text{ with the graph of } f$ .
3.  $f^*(Z \cdot W) = f^*(Z) \cdot f^*(W)$
4.  $f_*(f^*(Z) \cdot W) = Z \cdot f_*(W)$  for  $f$  proper.

# Algebraic cycles

Adequate equivalence relations

## Examples.

1. Rational equivalence
2. Algebraic equivalence
3. Numerical equivalence
4. Homological equivalence

# Algebraic cycles

## Rational equivalence

Rational equivalence is the finest adequate equivalence relation.

### Definition

Let  $i_0, i_1 : X \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{A}^1$  be the 0, 1 sections.  $Z$  is in  $R_{\text{rat}}^q(X)$  if there is a  $W \in z^q(X \times \mathbb{A}^1)_{0,1}$  with

$$Z = i_0^*(W) - i_1^*(W).$$

It is not at all obvious that  $\sim_{\text{rat}}$  is an adequate relation, this is [Chow's moving lemma](#).

$A_{\text{rat}}^*$  is usually written  $\text{CH}^*$ .

# Algebraic cycles

## Rational equivalence

**Example.**  $CH^n(\mathbb{P}^N) = \mathbb{Z}$  for  $0 \leq n \leq N$ , with generator a  $\mathbb{P}^{N-n} \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ .

For  $Z \in z_n(\mathbb{P}^N)$  we have

$$[Z] \cdot [\mathbb{P}^{N-n}] = n_Z \cdot [pt],$$

defining the **degree**  $n_Z := \deg(Z)$  of  $Z$ . Note that

$$[Z] = \deg(Z)[\mathbb{P}^n]$$

since  $[\mathbb{P}^n] \cdot [\mathbb{P}^{N-n}] = 1 \cdot [pt]$ .

This gives us **Bezout's theorem**: For  $Z \in z_n(\mathbb{P}^N)$ ,  $W \in z_{N-n}(\mathbb{P}^N)$ ,

$$[Z] \cdot [W] = \deg(Z) \deg(W)[pt]$$

# Algebraic cycles

## Algebraic equivalence

### Definition

$Z$  is in  $R_{\text{alg}}^q(X)$  if there is a smooth curve  $C$ ,  $k$ -points  $a, b$  in  $C$  and  $W \in z^q(X \times C)_{a,b}$  with

$$Z = i_a^*(W) - i_b^*(W).$$

**Note.** If  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$  is projective, there are projective schemes  $C_{r,d,X}$  parametrizing all effective dimension  $r$ , degree  $d$  cycles on  $X$ . For  $k = \bar{k}$ , this gives the description of  $\sim_{\text{alg}}$  on  $X$  as

$$Z \sim_{\text{alg}} W \Leftrightarrow \exists \text{ a cycle } T \text{ on } X \text{ with } Z + T, W + T \\ \text{in the same connected component of } C_{r,d,X}.$$

Thus  $\sim_{\text{alg}}$  is “topological” in nature:  $A_r^{\text{alg}}(X) = \pi_0([\mathbb{H}_d C_{r,d,X}]^+)$ .

# Algebraic cycles

## Numerical equivalence

We have the **degree map**

$$\deg : z_0(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}; \quad \deg\left(\sum_j n_j z_j\right) = \sum_j n_j [k(z_j) : k].$$

Since  $z_0(\text{Spec } k) = \mathbb{Z}[pt]$ ,  $\deg = p_{X*}$ .

For  $X$  projective,  $p_{X*}$  passes to

$$\deg = p_{X*} : \text{CH}_0(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} = \text{CH}_0(pt).$$

### Definition

Let  $X$  be smooth and projective over  $k$ .  $Z \in z^q(X)$  is  $\sim_{\text{num}} 0$  if for all  $W \in z_q(X)$ ,

$$\deg(Z \cdot W) = 0.$$

We have surjections

$$\mathrm{CH}^* \rightarrow A_{\mathrm{alg}}^* \rightarrow A_{\mathrm{num}}^*$$

In fact,  $\sim_{\mathrm{num}}$  is the coarsest (non-zero) adequate equivalence relation on smooth projective varieties.

Example

$$\mathrm{CH}^*(\mathbb{P}^N) = A_{\mathrm{alg}}^*(\mathbb{P}^N) = A_{\mathrm{num}}^*(\mathbb{P}^N)$$

and similarly for other “cellular” varieties, such as Grassmann varieties or flag varieties.

# Algebraic cycles

## Cellular varieties

This follows from the right-exact [localization sequence](#) for  $\mathrm{CH}_*$ :

### Theorem

Let  $i : W \rightarrow X$  be a closed immersion,  $j : U = X \setminus W \rightarrow X$  the open complement. Then the sequence

$$\mathrm{CH}_*(W) \xrightarrow{i_*} \mathrm{CH}_*(X) \xrightarrow{j^*} \mathrm{CH}_*(U) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact,

and the [homotopy property](#) for  $\mathrm{CH}_*$ :

### Theorem

The projection  $p : X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow X$  induces an isomorphism

$$p^* : \mathrm{CH}_*(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{*+1}(X \times \mathbb{A}^1).$$

# Algebraic cycles

cellular varieties

For instance, the known structure of the [Schubert varieties](#) give a stratification of a Grassmann variety  $X := \text{Gr}(n, N)$

$$\emptyset = F_{-1}X \subset F_0X \subset \dots \subset F_DX = X$$

with  $F_jX \setminus F_{j-1}X = \coprod_i \mathbb{A}^j$ .

Localization and homotopy imply that the closures of the  $\mathbb{A}^j$ 's give generators for  $\text{CH}_j(X)$ ; the classical Schubert calculus says that these classes are independent modulo  $\sim_{\text{num}}$ .

The fourth type of equivalence relation, homological equivalence, requires the notion of a [Weil cohomology](#), a formal version of singular cohomology for smooth projective varieties. We'll discuss this further in the second lecture.

# Algebraic $K$ -theory

Besides using algebraic cycles as an algebraic substitute for singular homology, one can use algebraic vector bundles (locally free coherent sheaves) to give an algebraic version of topological  $K$ -theory.

## Definition

Let  $X$  be a scheme,  $\mathcal{P}_X$  the category of locally free coherent sheaves on  $X$ . The **Grothendieck group of algebraic vector bundles on  $X$** ,  $K_0(X)$ , is the free abelian group on the isomorphism classes in  $\mathcal{P}_X$ , modulo relations

$$[E] = [E'] + [E'']$$

for each exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E' \rightarrow E \rightarrow E'' \rightarrow 0$$

in  $\mathcal{P}_X$ .

# Algebraic $K$ -theory

## Coherent sheaves

Replacing  $\mathcal{P}_X$  with the entire category  $\mathcal{M}_X$  of coherent sheaves on  $X$  gives the Grothendieck group of coherent sheaves  $G_0(X)$  with the map

$$K_0(X) \rightarrow G_0(X)$$

### Theorem (Regularity)

*If  $X$  is regular,  $K_0(X) \rightarrow G_0(X)$  is an isomorphism.*

### Proof.

Resolve a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  by vector bundles

$$0 \rightarrow E_n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$$

Sending  $[\mathcal{F}] \in G_0(X)$  to  $\sum_i (-1)^i [E_i] \in K_0(X)$  gives the inverse. □

# Algebraic $K$ -theory

## Properties

1.  $\otimes$  of sheaves makes  $K_0(X)$  a commutative ring and  $G_0(X)$  a  $K_0(X)$ -module.
2. For  $f : Y \rightarrow X$ , sending  $[E] \in K_0(X)$  to  $[f^*E] \in K_0(Y)$  makes  $K_0$  a contravariant functor.
3. For  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  flat, sending  $[\mathcal{F}] \in G_0(X)$  to  $[f^*\mathcal{F}] \in G_0(Y)$  makes  $G_0$  a contravariant functor for flat maps.
4. For  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  projective, sending  $[\mathcal{F}] \in G_0(Y)$  to  $\sum_i (-1)^i [R^i f_* \mathcal{F}]$  makes  $G_0$  a functor for projective maps.
5. Using regularity, we have  $f_*$  on  $K_0$  for projective maps of smooth varieties, and  $f^*$  on  $G_0$  for all maps of smooth varieties.

# Algebraic $K$ -theory

## $K_0$ and cycles

Grothendieck defined the **Chern character**

$$ch : K_0(X) \rightarrow CH^*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

a natural ring homomorphism (for  $X$  smooth).

**Theorem (Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch)**

1.  $ch : K_0(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow CH^*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is an isomorphism.
2. For  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  projective,  $x \in K_0(Y)$ ,

$$f_*(ch(x)) = ch(f_*(x \cup Td(T_f)))$$

Here  $Td$  is the **Todd class** and  $T_f$  is the formal vertical tangent bundle,

$$T_f := [T_Y] - f^*[T_X] \in K_0(Y).$$

# Algebraic $K$ -theory

## Higher algebraic $K$ -theory

Quillen's construction of the  $K$ -theory of an exact category, applied to  $\mathcal{P}_X$ , extended the Grothendieck group construction to give higher algebraic  $K$ -theory:

$$X \rightsquigarrow K_n(X) := K_n(\mathcal{P}_X); \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

and theory built on coherent sheaves  $\mathcal{M}_X$ :

$$X \rightsquigarrow G_n(X) := K_n(\mathcal{M}_X); \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

The basic structures: product and pull-back on  $K_*(X)$ , flat pull-back and projective push-forward for  $G_*(X)$ , natural map  $K_*(X) \rightarrow G_*(X)$  and the regularity theorem, all extend.

In addition, one has

### Theorem (Homotopy invariance)

$p^* : G_n(X) \rightarrow G_n(X \times \mathbb{A}^1)$  is an isomorphism.

### Theorem (Localization)

Let  $i : W \rightarrow X$  be a closed immersion,  $j : U := X \setminus W \rightarrow X$  to open complement. There is a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow G_n(W) \xrightarrow{i_*} G_n(X) \xrightarrow{j^*} G_n(U) \\ \xrightarrow{\partial} G_{n-1}(W) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow G_0(X) \xrightarrow{j^*} G_0(U) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

This yields e.g. a Mayer-Vietoris sequence for  $G$ -theory. For regular schemes, the regularity theorem gives analogous properties for  $K$ -theory.

Since we have the Chern character isomorphism

$$ch : K_{0\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow CH_{\mathbb{Q}}^*$$

and a right-exact localization sequence

$$CH_*(W) \rightarrow CH_*(X) \rightarrow CH_*(U) \rightarrow 0$$

it is natural to ask: Can one extend the Chow groups to a larger theory, that after  $\otimes \mathbb{Q}$  gives all of algebraic  $K$ -theory, and extends the right-exact sequence for  $CH_*$  to a long exact sequence?

In fact, much more was conjectured and turned out to be true.

# The Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjectures

# Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjectures

## Beilinson-Lichtenbaum complexes

In the early '80's Beilinson and Lichtenbaum gave conjectures for versions of universal cohomology which would arise as hypercohomology (in the Zariski, resp. étale topology) of certain complexes of sheaves. The conjectures describe sought-after properties of these representing complexes.

The complexes are supposed to explain values of  $L$ -functions and at the same time incorporate Milnor  $K$ -theory into the picture.

# Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjectures

$K$ -theory of rings of integers

## Theorem (Quillen)

*Let  $F$  be a number field with ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_F$ . Then the  $K$ -groups  $K_n(\mathcal{O}_F)$  are finitely generated abelian groups for all  $n \geq 0$ .*

Let  $F$  be a number field. By the theorem of Bass-Milnor-Serre,  $K_1(\mathcal{O}_F) = \mathcal{O}_F^*$ , and thus by Dirichlet's unit theorem,  $K_1(\mathcal{O}_F)$  is a finitely generated abelian group of rank  $r_1 + r_2 - 1$ . This computation is generalized in Borel's theorem:

# Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjectures

$K$ -theory of rings of integers

## Theorem

Let  $F$  be a number field. Then  $K_{2m}(\mathcal{O}_F) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$  for  $m \geq 1$ , and  $K_{2m-1}(\mathcal{O}_F) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \mathbb{Q}^{r(m)}$ , where

$$r(m) = \begin{cases} r_1 + r_2 - 1 & \text{for } m = 1 \\ r_1 + r_2 & \text{for } m > 1 \text{ odd,} \\ r_2 & \text{for } m > 1 \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

# Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjectures

$K$ -theory of rings of integers

By comparing these ranks with the known orders of vanishing of the zeta function, we have

$$\text{ord}_{s=1-m} \zeta_F(s) = \text{rank} K_{2m-1}(\mathcal{O}_F). \quad (1)$$

Furthermore, by comparing two different  $\mathbb{Q}$  structures on the real cohomology of  $\text{SL}(\mathcal{O}_F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R})$ , Borel defined “regulators”  $R_m(F)$ . These are also related to the zeta function, by

$$\zeta_F(1-m)^* \equiv R_m(F) \pmod{\mathbb{Q}^*},$$

where  $\zeta_F(1-m)^*$  is the leading term

$$\zeta_F(1-m)^* := \lim_{s \rightarrow 1-m} (s+m-1)^{-r(m)} \zeta_F(s).$$

# Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjectures

*K*-theory and values of zeta functions

What about an integral statement?

## Conjecture (Lichtenbaum)

*For F totally real and for m even,*

$$\zeta_F(1 - m)_I = \frac{\#H_{\text{ét}}^2(\mathcal{O}_F, j_*\mathbb{Z}_I(m))}{\#H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathcal{O}_F, j_*\mathbb{Z}_I(m))}$$

This follows (at least for odd  $I$ ) from the “main conjecture” of Iwasawa theory, proved by Mazur-Wiles for  $F$  abelian, and for  $F$  arbitrary by Wiles.

Note that, if  $F$  is totally real ( $r_2 = 0$ ) and  $m$  is even, then  $r(m) = 0$ , and  $R_m(F) = 1$ .

# Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjectures

$K$ -theory and values of zeta functions

Lichtenbaum extended his conjecture to cover the case of all  $m \geq 1$  and all number fields, and at the same time used  $K$ -theory to remove the dependence on  $l$ :

## Conjecture

Let  $F$  be a number field,  $m \geq 1$  an integer. Then

$$\frac{\zeta_F(1-m)^*}{R_m(F)} = \pm \frac{\#K_{2m-2}(\mathcal{O}_F)}{K_{2m-1}(\mathcal{O}_F)_{\text{tor}}}$$

This is classical for  $m = 1$ , but turned out to be **false** in general: For  $F = \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $m = 2$ ,  $K_2(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/2$ ,  $K_3(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/48$ , but  $\zeta(-1) = -1/12$

# Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjectures

## Universal cohomology

To correct, Lichtenbaum suggested breaking up  $K$ -theory by “weights”.

Adams operations act on  $K$ -theory, giving the decomposition

$$K_n(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \bigoplus_q K_n(X)^{(q)}; \quad K_n(X)^{(q)} := k^q\text{-eigenspace for } \psi_k.$$

Beilinson reindexed to conform with other cohomology theories and defined the **universal rational cohomology** of  $X$  as

$$H^p(X, \mathbb{Q}(q)) := K_{2q-p}(X)^{(q)}.$$

An integral version,  $H^p(\mathcal{O}_F, \mathbb{Z}(q))$ , could possibly replace  $K_{2q-p}(\mathcal{O}_F)$  in a formula for  $\zeta_F(1-q)$ .

# Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjectures

Milnor  $K$ -theory

The second ingredient is Milnor  $K$ -theory.

## Definition

Let  $F$  be a field. The graded-commutative ring  $K_*^M(F)$  is defined as the quotient of the tensor algebra  $T(F^\times) := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (F^\times)^{\otimes n}$  by the two-sided ideal generated by elements  $a \otimes (1 - a)$ ,  $a \in F \setminus \{0, 1\}$ :

$$K_*^M(F) := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (F^\times)^{\otimes n} / \langle \{a \otimes (1 - a)\} \rangle.$$

This was introduced by Milnor in his study of quadratic forms.

# Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjectures

Milnor  $K$ -theory

Since  $F^\times = K_1(F)$ , products in  $K$ -theory induce

$$(F^\times)^{\otimes n} \rightarrow K_n(F).$$

Elements  $a \otimes (1 - a)$  go to zero in  $K_2(F)$  (the Steinberg relation), so we have

$$\rho_n : K_n^M(F) \rightarrow K_n(F).$$

Suslin showed that the kernel of  $\rho_n$  is killed by  $(n - 1)!$ . For  $n = 1, 2$ ,  $\rho_n$  is an isomorphism ( $n = 2$  is Matsumoto's theorem), but in general  $\rho_n$  is far from surjective.

**Example.** For  $F$  a number field,  $K_n^M(F)$  is 2-torsion for  $n \geq 3$ , but  $K_3(F)$  has rank  $r_2$ .

# Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjectures

## Milnor $K$ -theory

Milnor  $K$ -theory is closely related to Galois cohomology.

To start, use the Kummer sequence ( $n$  prime to the characteristic)

$$1 \rightarrow \mu_n \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{\times n} \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow 1$$

which gives (since  $H_{\text{Gal}}^0(F, \mathbb{G}_m) = F^\times$ ,  $H_{\text{Gal}}^1(F, \mathbb{G}_m) = 0$ )

$$H_{\text{Gal}}^1(F, \mu_n) \cong F^\times / (F^\times)^n = K_1^M(F)/n.$$

Extend by taking products and showing the Steinberg relation holds in Galois cohomology to give

$$\theta_{F,q,n} : K_q^M(F)/n \rightarrow H_{\text{Gal}}^q(F, \mu_n^{\otimes q}).$$

## Conjecture (Bloch-Kato)

$\theta_{F,q,n}$  is an isomorphism for all  $F$ ,  $q$  and  $n$  prime to  $\text{char}(F)$ .

# Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjectures

Milnor  $K$ -theory

$\theta_{F,q,n} : K_q^M(F)/n \rightarrow H_{\text{Gal}}^q(F, \mu_n^{\otimes q})$  is an isomorphism for all  $F$ ,  $q$  and  $n$  prime to  $\text{char}(F)$ .

Case	Solved by	Date
$q = 1$	Kummer	
$q = 2$	Tate	(for number fields) 1970's
$q = 2$	Merkurjev-Suslin	1983
$q = 3$	Rost	1990's
$q > 2, n = 2^\nu$	Voevodsky	1996
general	Voevodsky, Rost, ...	2007

# Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjectures

The idea was that one should get the right values for zeta functions by replacing  $K$ -theory with “universal cohomology”  $H^p(X, \mathbb{Z}(q))$ , that would give an integral version of the eigenspaces of Adams operations on higher  $K$ -theory, with the weight  $q$  motivic cohomology contributing to  $\zeta(1 - q)$ .

At the same time, the work of Merkurjev-Suslin on  $K_2$  and Galois cohomology made clear the importance of the Bloch-Kato conjecture in giving the link between universal cohomology and values of zeta functions. This led Beilinson and Lichtenbaum (independently) to conjecture that universal cohomology should arise as hypercohomology of complexes of sheaves with certain properties.

# Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjectures

## Beilinson complexes

### Conjecture (Beilinson-letter to Soulé 1982)

For  $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$  there are complexes  $\Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(q)$ ,  $q \geq 0$ , in the derived category of sheaves of abelian groups on  $X_{\text{Zar}}$ , (functorial in  $X$ ) with functorial graded product, and

- (0)  $\Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(0) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(1) \cong \mathbb{G}_m[-1]$
- (1)  $\Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(q)$  is acyclic outside  $[1, q]$  for  $q \geq 1$ .
- (2)  $\Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(q) \otimes^L \mathbb{Z}/n \cong \tau_{\leq q} R\alpha_* \mu_n^{\otimes q}$  if  $n$  is invertible on  $X$ , where  $\alpha : X_{\text{ét}} \rightarrow X_{\text{Zar}}$  is the change of topology morphism.
- (3)  $K_n(X)^{(q)} \cong \mathbb{H}^{2q-n}(X_{\text{Zar}}, \Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(q))_{\mathbb{Q}}$  (or up to small primes)
- (4)  $\mathcal{H}^q(\Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(q)(F)) = \mathcal{K}_q^M$ .

### Conjecture (Lichtenbaum LNM 1068)

For  $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$  there are complexes  $\Gamma_{\acute{e}t}(r)$ ,  $r \geq 0$ , in the derived category of sheaves of abelian groups on  $X_{\acute{e}t}$ , (functorial in  $X$ ) with functorial graded product, and

- (0)  $\Gamma_{\acute{e}t}(0) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\Gamma_{\acute{e}t}(1) \cong \mathbb{G}_m[-1]$
- (1)  $\Gamma_{\acute{e}t}(q)$  is acyclic outside  $[1, q]$  for  $q \geq 1$ .
- (2)  $R^{q+1}\alpha_*\Gamma_{\acute{e}t}(q) = 0$  (Hilbert Theorem 90)
- (3)  $\Gamma_{\acute{e}t}(q) \otimes^L \mathbb{Z}/n \cong \mu_n^{\otimes q}$  if  $n$  is invertible on  $X$ .
- (4)  $\mathcal{K}_n^{(q)\acute{e}t} \cong \mathcal{H}^{2q-n}(\Gamma(q))$  (up to small primes), where  $\mathcal{K}_n^{(q)\acute{e}t}$  and  $\mathcal{H}^{2q-n}(\Gamma_{\acute{e}t}(q))$  are the respective Zariski sheaves.
- (5) For a field  $F$ ,  $H^q(\Gamma_{\acute{e}t}(q)(F)) = K_q^M(F)$ .

# Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjectures

The two constructions should be related by

$$\tau_{\leq q} R\alpha_* \Gamma_{\text{ét}}(q) = \Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(q); \quad \Gamma_{\text{ét}}(q) = \alpha^* \Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(q).$$

These conjectures, somewhat reinterpreted for motivic cohomology, are now known as the Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjectures. The translation is

$$H^p(X, \mathbb{Z}(q)) := \mathbb{H}^p(X_{\text{Zar}}, \Gamma_{\text{Zar}}(q)) = \mathbb{H}^p(X_{\text{Zar}}, \tau_{\leq q} R\alpha_* \Gamma_{\text{ét}}(q)).$$

The relation with the Chow groups is

$$\text{CH}^p(X) = H^{2p}(X, \mathbb{Z}(p)) \cong H^p(X, \mathcal{K}_p^M).$$

# Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjectures

## Implications

The relations (2) and (4) in Beilinson's conjectures and (2), (3) and (5) in Lichtenbaum's version imply the Bloch-Kato conjectures.

In fact, they say: There is a natural map

$$H^p(X, \mathbb{Z}/n(q)) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^p(X, \mu_n^{\otimes q})$$

which is an isomorphism for  $p \leq q$ . For  $p > q$ ,  $H^p(F, \mathbb{Z}(q)) = 0$ , so

$$H^q(F, \mathbb{Z}/n(q)) = K_q^M(F)/n.$$

# Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjectures

## Current status

Remarkably, the Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjectures have all been verified, with the exception of the boundedness result (1). This is the [Beilinson-Soulé vanishing conjecture](#):

$$H^p(X, \mathbb{Z}(q)) = 0 \text{ if } p \leq 0 \text{ and } q \neq 0.$$

The first successful candidate for the motivic complexes was constructed by Bloch.

# Bloch's cycle complexes

# Bloch's cycle complexes

## The main idea

Roughly speaking, Bloch starts with the presentation for  $\mathrm{CH}^q(X)$ :

$$z^q(X \times \mathbb{A}^1)_{0,1} \xrightarrow{i_0^* - i_1^*} z^q(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}^q(X) \rightarrow 0$$

and extends to the left by using the [algebraic  \$n\$ -simplices](#). The resulting complex is a good candidate for  $\Gamma(q)(X)$ .

# Bloch's cycle complexes

$n$ -simplices

The standard  $n$ -simplex is

$$\Delta_n := \{(t_0, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid \sum_i t_i = 1, t_i \geq 0\}.$$

These fit together to form a **cosimplicial space**: Let **Ord** be the category with objects the ordered sets  $\underline{n} := \{0, \dots, n\}$  and maps the order-preserving maps of sets.

For  $i \in \underline{n}$ , we have the  $i$ th vertex  $v_i$  of  $\Delta_n$ , with  $t_i = 1$ ,  $t_j = 0$  for  $j \neq i$ .

Given  $g : \underline{n} \rightarrow \underline{m}$  define

$$\Delta(g) : \Delta_n \rightarrow \Delta_m$$

to be the convex-linear extension of the map  $v_i \mapsto v_{g(i)}$ .

# Bloch's cycle complexes

$n$ -simplices

Explicitly

$$\Delta(g)(t_0, \dots, t_n) = (\Delta(g)(t)_0, \dots, \Delta(g)(t)_m)$$

with

$$\Delta(g)(t)_j := \sum_{i \in g^{-1}(j)} t_i.$$

This gives us the functor

$$\Delta_* : \mathbf{Ord} \rightarrow \mathbf{Spaces}$$

i.e., a cosimplicial space.

# Bloch's cycle complexes

## Singular simplices

If now  $T$  is a topological space, let  $C_n^{\text{sing}}(T)$  be the free abelian group on the set of continuous maps  $\sigma : \Delta_n \rightarrow T$ . Composition with the maps  $\Delta(g)$  defines the **simplicial abelian group**

$$C_*^{\text{sing}}(T) := \mathbb{Z}[\text{Maps}(\Delta_*, T)] : \mathbf{Ord}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}.$$

As usual, we form the complex  $(C_*^{\text{sing}}(T), d)$ , with

$$d_n := \sum_i (-1)^i \delta_n^{i*} : C_{n+1}^{\text{sing}}(T) \rightarrow C_n^{\text{sing}}(T)$$

where  $\delta_n^i : \Delta_n \rightarrow \Delta_{n+1}$  is the **coface map**

$$\delta_n^i(t_0, \dots, t_n) := (t_0, \dots, t_{i-1}, 0, t_i, \dots, t_n).$$

$(C_*^{\text{sing}}(T), d)$  is the **singular chain complex** of  $T$  and

$$H_n(T, \mathbb{Z}) := H_n(C_*^{\text{sing}}(T), d).$$

# Bloch's cycle complexes

## Algebraic $n$ -simplices

We now make an algebraic analog.

### Definition

The algebraic  $n$ -simplex over  $k$ ,  $\Delta_k^n$ , is the hyperplane  $\sum_i t_i = 1$  in  $\mathbb{A}_k^{n+1}$ . For  $g : \underline{n} \rightarrow \underline{m}$  let

$$\Delta(g) : \Delta_k^n \rightarrow \Delta_k^m$$

be the affine linear map  $t \mapsto (\Delta(g)(t)_0, \dots, \Delta(g)(t)_m)$  with

$$\Delta(g)(t)_j := \sum_{i \in g^{-1}(j)} t_i.$$

This defines the cosimplicial scheme  $\Delta_k^* : \mathbf{Ord} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sm}/k$ .

A face of  $\Delta_k^n$  is a subscheme defined by  $t_{i_1} = \dots = t_{i_r} = 0$ .

# Bloch's cycle complexes

## Good cycles

Noting that  $(\mathbb{A}^1, 0, 1) = (\Delta^1, \delta_0^1(\Delta^0), \delta_0^0(\Delta^0))$ , we extend our presentation of  $\text{CH}^q(X)$  by defining

$$z^q(X, n) := \mathbb{Z}\{\text{irreducible, codimension } q \text{ subvarieties} \\ W \subset X \times \Delta^n \text{ in good position}\}.$$

where “good position” means:

$$\text{codim}_{X \times F} W \cap X \times F \geq q$$

for all faces  $F \subset \Delta^n$ .

# Bloch's cycle complexes

## Good cycles

The “good position” condition implies that we have a well-defined pull-back

$$\Delta(g)^* : z^q(X, n) \rightarrow z^q(X, m)$$

for every  $g : \underline{n} \rightarrow \underline{m}$  in **Ord**, giving us the simplicial abelian group

$$n \mapsto z^q(X, n)$$

and the complex  $(z^q(X, *), d)$ . Explicitly, this is

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow z^q(X, n+1) \xrightarrow{d_n} z^q(X, n) \rightarrow \\ \dots \rightarrow z^q(X, 1) = z^q(X \times \mathbb{A}^1)_{0,1} \xrightarrow{i_0^* - i_1^*} z^q(X) \end{aligned}$$

so

$$H_0(z^q(X, *), d) = \text{CH}^q(X).$$

# Bloch's cycle complexes

## Higher Chow groups

### Definition

The complex  $(z^q(X, *), d)$  is Bloch's cycle complex. The higher Chow groups of  $X$  are

$$\mathrm{CH}^q(X, n) := H_n(z^q(X, *), d).$$

# Bloch's cycle complexes

## Properties

The complexes  $z^q(X, *)$  inherit the properties of  $z^q(X)$  (more or less):

1. For  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  flat, we have  $f^* : z^q(X, *) \rightarrow z^q(Y, *)$
2. For  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  proper, we have  $f_* : z_q(Y, *) \rightarrow z_q(X, *)$   
( $z_q(Y, *) := z^{\dim Y - q}(Y, *)$ ).
3. Cycle product induces a product

$$\cup : z^q(X, *) \otimes^L z^p(Y, *) \rightarrow z^{p+q}(X \times_k Y, *)$$

in  $D^-(\mathbf{Ab})$ .

4. For arbitrary  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  in  $\mathbf{Sm}/k$  there is  
 $f^* : z^q(X, *) \rightarrow z^q(Y, *)$  in  $D^-(\mathbf{Ab})$ .

These induce corresponding operations on the homology  $\mathrm{CH}^*(-, n)$ .

Two important results:

### Theorem (Homotopy invariance)

For any  $X$

$$p^* : z_q(X, *) \rightarrow z_{q+1}(X, *)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism, i.e.  $p^* : \mathrm{CH}_q(X, n) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{q+1}(X \times \mathbb{A}^1, n)$   
is an isomorphism.

# Bloch's cycle complexes

## Properties

### Theorem (Localization)

Let  $i : W \rightarrow X$  be a closed immersion,  $j : U := X \setminus W \rightarrow X$  the open complement. Then the sequence

$$z_q(W, *) \xrightarrow{i_*} z_q(X, *) \xrightarrow{j^*} z_q(U, *)$$

extends canonically to a distinguished triangle in  $D^-(\mathbf{Ab})$ , i.e., there is a long exact *localization sequence*

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_q(W, n) &\xrightarrow{i_*} \mathrm{CH}_q(X, n) \xrightarrow{j^*} \mathrm{CH}_q(U, n) \\ &\xrightarrow{\partial} \mathrm{CH}_q(W, n-1) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_q(U, 1) \\ &\xrightarrow{\partial} \mathrm{CH}_q(W) \xrightarrow{i_*} \mathrm{CH}_q(X) \xrightarrow{j^*} \mathrm{CH}_q(U) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

# Bloch's cycle complexes

## Properties

### Corollary (Mayer-Vietoris)

*If  $X = U \cup V$ ,  $U, V$  open, we have a long exact Mayer-Vietoris sequence*

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_q(X, n) &\rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_q(U, n) \oplus \mathrm{CH}_q(V, n) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_q(U \cap V, n) \\ &\xrightarrow{\partial} \mathrm{CH}_q(X, n-1) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_q(U \cap V, 1) \\ &\xrightarrow{\partial} \mathrm{CH}_q(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_q(U) \oplus \mathrm{CH}_q(V) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_q(U \cap V) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

# Bloch's cycle complexes

## Properties

### Theorem (Totaro, Nestorenko-Suslin)

For a field  $F$  and integer  $q \geq 0$ , there is a natural isomorphism

$$K_q^M(F) \cong \mathrm{CH}^q(F, q).$$

**Note.**  $\mathrm{CH}^q(F, n) = 0$  for  $n > q$  for dimensional reasons.

Finally, we have a Chern character isomorphism:

$$ch_n : K_n(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{q \geq 0} \mathrm{CH}^q(X, n)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

identifying  $K_n(X)^{(q)}$  with  $\mathrm{CH}^q(X, n)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

# Bloch's cycle complexes

## Universal cohomology

### Definition

1. Integral universal cohomology of  $X \in \mathbf{Sm}/k$  is

$$H^p(X, \mathbb{Z}(q)) := \mathrm{CH}^q(X, 2q - p).$$

2. The sheaf of complexes  $\Gamma_{Bl}(q)^*$  is

$$U \mapsto z^q(U, 2q - *)$$

**Remark** It follows from the Mayer-Vietoris property that the natural map

$$H^p(\Gamma_{Bl}(q)(X)) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^p(X_{\mathrm{Zar}}, \Gamma_{Bl}(q))$$

is an isomorphism. Thus

$$H^p(X, \mathbb{Z}(q)) = H^p(\Gamma_{Bl}(q)(X)) = \mathbb{H}^p(X_{\mathrm{Zar}}, \Gamma_{Bl}(q)).$$

# Bloch's cycle complexes

## Universal cohomology

From our comments, the complexes  $\Gamma_{Bl}(q)$  satisfy all requirements of Beilinson, except

1. The functoriality and products are only in  $D^-(\mathbf{Ab})$ , not as complexes (technical issue).
2.  $\Gamma_{Bl}(q)$  is not known to be cohomologically supported in  $[1, q]$ , although  $\Gamma_{Bl}(q)$  is supported in  $(-\infty, q]$ .
3. Note that the mod  $n$  properties rely on the Bloch-Kato conjecture.

# Suslin's cycle complexes

# Suslin's cycle complexes

Homology and the Dold-Thom theorem

Suslin's construction of cycle complex is more closely related to constructions in topology than Bloch's version.

We have seen that the singular chain complex of a space  $T$  can be written as

$$C_*^{\text{sing}}(T) = \mathbb{Z}[\text{Maps}(\Delta_*, T)]$$

with the differential induced by the cosimplicial structure in  $\Delta_*$ .

Why not try the same, replacing  $\Delta_*$  with  $\Delta_k^*$  and  $T$  with a variety  $X$ ?

**Answer.** It fails miserably! There are usually very few maps  $\Delta_k^n \rightarrow X$ .

# Suslin's cycle complexes

## Homology and the Dold-Thom theorem

Instead, use the Dold-Thom theorem as starting point:

### Theorem (Dold-Thom)

*Let  $(T, *)$  be a pointed CW complex. There is a natural isomorphism*

$$H_n(T, *) \cong \pi_n(\mathrm{Sym}^\infty T).$$

Here

$$\mathrm{Sym}^\infty T = \varinjlim [T \rightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^2 T \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^n T \rightarrow \dots]$$

with  $\mathrm{Sym}^n T \rightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^{n+1} T$  the map “add  $*$  to the sum”.

# Suslin's cycle complexes

Homology and the Dold-Thom theorem: an algebraic version

What is the algebraic analog? A simple answer is given by “finite cycles”

## Definition

For  $X, Y$  varieties,  $X$  smooth and irreducible, set

$$z_{\text{fin}}(Y)(X) := \mathbb{Z}[\{\text{irreducible, reduced } W \subset X \times_k Y \text{ with} \\ W \rightarrow X \text{ finite and surjective}\}].$$

Think of such a  $W \subset X \times Y$  as a map from  $X$  to  $\text{Sym}^d Y$ ,  
 $d = \deg W/X$ :

$$x \in X \mapsto (x \times Y) \cdot W = \sum_i n_i y_i \in \text{Sym}^d Y.$$

# Suslin's cycle complexes

Homology and the Dold-Thom theorem: an algebraic version

Note that  $z_{\text{fin}}(Y)$  is a presheaf on  $\mathbf{Sm}/k$ : Given  $f : X' \rightarrow X$  and  $W \subset X \times Y$  finite over  $X$ , the pull-back

$$(f \times \text{id}_Y)^{-1}(W) \subset X' \times Y$$

is still finite over  $X'$ . Thus the cycle pull-back is defined, giving

$$f^* : z_{\text{fin}}(Y)(X) \rightarrow z_{\text{fin}}(Y)(X').$$

In particular, we can evaluate  $z_{\text{fin}}(Y)$  on  $\Delta_k^*$ , giving us the simplicial abelian group

$$n \mapsto z_{\text{fin}}(Y)(\Delta_k^n).$$

# Suslin's cycle complexes

## Suslin homology

### Definition

For a  $k$ -scheme  $Y$ , the **Suslin complex** of  $Y$ ,  $C_*^{\text{Sus}}(Y)$ , is the complex associated to the simplicial abelian group

$$n \mapsto z_{\text{fin}}(Y)(\Delta_k^n).$$

The **Suslin homology** of  $Y$  is

$$H_n^{\text{Sus}}(Y, A) := H_n(C_*^{\text{Sus}}(Y) \otimes A).$$

Since  $z_{\text{fin}}(Y)(X)$  is covariantly functorial in  $Y$  (for arbitrary maps), Suslin homology is covariantly functorial, as it should be.

# Suslin's cycle complexes

## Comparison results

One beautiful result on Suslin homology (proved before Bloch-Kato) is

### Theorem (Suslin-Voevodsky)

*Let  $Y$  be a finite type scheme over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then there is a natural isomorphism*

$$H_*^{\text{Sus}}(Y, \mathbb{Z}/n) \cong H_*(Y(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}/n).$$

# Suslin's cycle complexes

## Comparison results

Since a cycle in  $z_{\text{fin}}(Y)(\Delta^n)$  is a cycle on  $Y \times \Delta^n$ , obviously in good position, we have a natural inclusion

$$C_*^{\text{Sus}}(Y) \hookrightarrow z^d(Y, *); \quad d = \dim_k Y,$$

### Theorem (Suslin-Voevodsky)

For  $Y$  smooth and projective,  $C_*^{\text{Sus}}(Y) \hookrightarrow z^d(Y, *)$  is a quasi-isomorphism.

Thus

$$H_n^{\text{Sus}}(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^{2d-n}(Y, \mathbb{Z}(d))$$

for  $Y$  smooth and projective of dimension  $d$  (Poincaré duality).

# Suslin's cycle complexes

## Relations with universal cohomology

One can recover [all](#) the universal cohomology groups from the Suslin homology construction, properly modified. For this, we recall how the Dold-Thom theorem gives a model for cohomology.

Since  $S^n$  has only one non-trivial reduced homology group,  $H_n(S^n, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ , the Dold-Thom theorem tells us that  $\text{Sym}^\infty S^n$  is a  $K(\mathbb{Z}, n)$ , i.e.

$$\pi_m(\text{Sym}^\infty S^n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } m \neq n \\ \mathbb{Z} & \text{for } m = n. \end{cases}$$

Obstruction theory tells us that

$$H^m(X, \mathbb{Z}) = \pi_{m-n}(\text{Maps}(X, \text{Sym}^\infty S^n)).$$

for  $m \leq n$ .

# Suslin's cycle complexes

## Relations with universal cohomology

To rephrase this in the algebraic setting, we need a good replacement for the  $n$ -spheres. It turns out we get a very good replacement for the 2-sphere by taking  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , pointed by  $\infty$ , and thus we use  $(\mathbb{P}^1)^{\wedge n}$  for  $S^{2n}$ .

The wedge product doesn't make much sense, but since we are going to apply this to finite cycles, we just take a quotient by the cycles "at infinity":

$$z_{\text{fin}}((\mathbb{P}^1)^{\wedge n})(X) := z_{\text{fin}}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n)(X) / \sum_{j=1}^n i_{\infty, j*}(z_{\text{fin}}((\mathbb{P}^1)^{n-1})(X)),$$

where  $i_{\infty, j} : (\mathbb{P}^1)^{n-1} \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$  inserts  $\infty$  in the  $j$ th spot.

This leads to

# Suslin's cycle complexes

Relations with universal cohomology

## Definition

The Friedlander-Suslin weight  $q$  cycle complex of  $X$  is

$$\Gamma_{FS}(q)^*(X) := z_{\text{fin}}((\mathbb{P}^1)^{\wedge q})(X \times \Delta^{2q-*}).$$

This gives us the complex of sheaves  $U \mapsto \Gamma_{FS}(q)(U)^*$ .

Restriction from  $X \times \Delta^n \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^q \rightarrow X \times \Delta^n \times \mathbb{A}^q$  defines the inclusion

$$\Gamma_{FS}(q)^*(X) \hookrightarrow z^q(X \times \mathbb{A}^q, 2q - *) = \Gamma_{BI}(q)^*(X \times \mathbb{A}^q)$$

# Suslin's cycle complexes

Relations with universal cohomology

## Theorem (Friedlander-Suslin-Voevodsky)

For  $X$  smooth and quasi-projective, the maps

$$\Gamma_{FS}(q)^*(X) \rightarrow \Gamma_{BI}(q)^*(X \times \mathbb{A}^q) \xleftarrow{p^*} \Gamma_{BI}(q)^*(X)$$

are quasi-isomorphisms. In particular, we have natural isomorphisms

$$\mathbb{H}^P(X_{\text{Zar}}, \Gamma_{FS}(q)) \cong H^P(\Gamma_{FS}(q)(X)^*) \cong H^P(X, \mathbb{Z}(q)).$$

# Suslin's cycle complexes

Relations with universal cohomology

Since

$$X \mapsto \Gamma_{FS}(q)^*(X) := z_{\text{fin}}((\mathbb{P}^1)^{\wedge q})(X \times \Delta^{2q-*})$$

is functorial in  $X$ , the Friedlander-Suslin complex gives a functorial model for Bloch's cycle complex.

Products for  $\Gamma_{FS}(q)$  are similarly defined on the level of complexes.

This completes the Beilinson-Lichtenbaum program, with the exception of the vanishing conjectures.

# Concluding remarks

One can make some computations.

## Proposition

Let  $X$  be a smooth quasi-projective variety. Then

1.  $H^n(X, \mathbb{Z}(q)) = 0$  for  $n > 2q$ .
2.  $H^{2q}(X, \mathbb{Z}(q)) = \text{CH}^q(X)$
3.  $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}(1)) = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*)$ ;  $H^n(X, \mathbb{Z}(1)) = 0$  for  $n \neq 1, 2$

For a field  $F$ :

1.  $H^n(F, \mathbb{Z}(q)) = 0$  for  $n > q$
2.  $H^q(F, \mathbb{Z}(q)) = K_q^M(F)$
3.  $H^1(F, \mathbb{Z}(2)) = K_3(F)/K_3^M(F)$ .

## Theorem (Bloch-Lichtenbaum/Friedlander-Suslin)

Let  $X$  be smooth. There is a strongly convergent spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^{p-q}(X, \mathbb{Z}(-q)) \implies K_{-p-q}(X)$$

Adams operations act on the spectral sequence, with  $\psi_k$  acting by  $\times k^q$  on  $E_2^{p,-q}$ , so one can refine the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -isomorphism of universal cohomology with  $K$ -theory, limiting the primes one needs to invert.

# Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence

Combining with the mod  $n$  information furnished by the Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjectures, the known computations of  $K$ -theory of finite fields and of number rings yield similar computations for universal cohomology.

Conversely, the Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjectures plus the AH spectral sequence allow one to compare mod  $n$  algebraic  $K$ -theory with mod  $n$  topological (étale)  $K$ -theory, giving a proof of the [Quillen-Lichtenbaum conjecture](#):

## Theorem

*The natural map*

$$K_p(X; \mathbb{Z}/n) \rightarrow K_p^{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/n)$$

*is an isomorphism for  $p \geq cd_n(X) - 1$  and injective for  $p = cd_n(X) - 2$ .*

In the next lecture, we show how the theory of universal cohomology acquires a categorical foundation, becoming **motivic cohomology** via Voevodsky's triangulated category of motives.

Thank you!