

## TALK 2 : MILNOR K-THEORY AND THE ROST COMPLEX

References:

[Mil] Milnor "Algebraic K-theory and quadratic forms", 1970

[Ros] Rost "Chow groups with coefficients", 1996

In this talk we introduce some fundamental tools that we need in the seminar.

- Program:
- § 1. Milnor K-theory
  - § 2. Cycle premodules
  - § 3. Cycle modules
  - § 4. The 4 basic maps

### § 1. Milnor K-theory

[Mil, §1]

Let  $F$  be a field. We denote  $F^\times := F \setminus \{0\}$ .

Def: The Milnor K-theory of  $F$  is the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded ring

$$K_*^{\text{M}}(F) := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (F^\times)^{\otimes n} / \langle a \otimes b \mid a, b \in F^\times, a+b=1 \rangle$$

tensor ring of  $F^\times$ .  
It is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded ring.

ideal generated by homogeneous elements  
 $\leadsto$  the quotient is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded ring.

Explicitly, the grading is given by

$$K_*^{\text{M}}(F) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} K_n^{\text{M}}(F), \quad K_n^{\text{M}}(F) = 0 \quad \text{for } n < 0$$

$$K_n^{\text{M}}(F) = (F^\times)^{\otimes n} / \langle a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n \mid a_1, \dots, a_n \in F^\times, a_i + a_{i+1} = 1 \text{ for some } i \rangle \quad \text{for } n \geq 0$$

In particular,

$$K_0^{\text{M}}(F) = \mathbb{Z}$$

$$K_1^{\text{M}}(F) = F^\times$$

$$K_2^{\text{M}}(F) = F^\times \otimes F^\times / \langle a \otimes (1-a) \mid a \neq 0, 1 \rangle$$

"Steinberg relation"

subgroup generated

Notation: We use the additive notation for the operation on  $K_n^n(F)$ .

To make it precise, we introduce the group isomorphism

$$F^\times \cong K_1^n(F)$$

$$a \mapsto \{a\}$$

Notice that  $\{1\} = 0$

$$\{a^{-1}\} = -\{a\}$$

So, we have that,  $\forall a, b \in F^\times$ ,

$$\{ab\} = \{a\} + \{b\}.$$

We also denote

$$\{a_1, \dots, a_n\} := \{a_1\} \cdots \{a_n\} \text{ in } K_n^n(F)$$

$$\text{Notice that } \{ab, c\} = \{a, c\} + \{b, c\}.$$

So, Milnor K-theory is the associative ring with unit

- generated by symbols  $\{a\}$ , for  $a \in F^\times$ . is generated by elements in deg 1!
- with relations generated by
  - $\{ab\} = \{a\} + \{b\}$ , for  $a, b \in F^\times$
  - $\{a, 1-a\} = 0$ , for  $a \in F^\times, a \neq 1$ .

Each  $K_n^n(F)$  satisfies the universal property given by the combination of the universal properties of the tensor ring and quotient:

$\forall$  G group,  $\forall \underbrace{F^\times \times \dots \times F^\times}_{n\text{-times}} \rightarrow G$  n-linear function

s.t.  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mapsto 0$  if  $a_i + a_{i+1} = 1$  for some  $i$ ,

$\exists!$   $K_n^n(F) \rightarrow G$  group hom s.t.  $F^\times \times \dots \times F^\times \rightarrow G$  commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F^\times \times \dots \times F^\times & \xrightarrow{\quad} & G \\ (a_1, \dots, a_n) \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ \{a_1, \dots, a_n\} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & K_n^n(F) \end{array}$$

Some properties of Milnor K-theory directly following from the definition:

- $\{a, -a\} = 0$ ,  $\forall a \in F^\times$ .
- $K_n^n(F)$  is anticommutative, i.e.  $\forall x \in K_m^n(F), y \in K_n^n(F)$   
 $\{a, b\} = -\{b, a\}$ . Notice that  $xy = (-1)^{mn} yx$  in  $K_{mn}^n(F)$
- $\{a\}^2 = \{a, -1\}$   $\forall a \in F^\times$
- $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\} = 0$   $\forall a_1, \dots, a_n \in F^\times$  s.t.  $a_1 + \dots + a_n = 0$  or 1

[Mil, §2]

An important construction on Hilbert  $K$ -theory is the residue map. We consider the setting in which  $F$  is endowed with a discrete valuation  $v$ .

Recall:  $v$  a discrete valuation on  $F$  is a function  $v: F \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$  s.t.

- $v(ab) = v(a) + v(b)$
- $v(a+b) \geq \min\{v(a), v(b)\}$
- $v(a) = \infty \iff a = 0$

We define  $\mathcal{O} := \{a \in F \mid v(a) \geq 0\}$ , called ring of integers.  
 $\mathcal{U} := \{a \in F \mid v(a) = 0\}$

$\mathcal{O}$  is a DVR (= PID with exactly one maximal ideal) with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ . A generator  $\pi \in \mathfrak{m}$  is called a uniformizer. It is unique up to a unit  $u \in \mathcal{U}^*$ . They are the elements of  $F$  with valuation 1. It holds that  $F = \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O})$ .

The quotient  $k(v) := \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{m}$  is called the residue class field.

Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be the ring of integers,  $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathcal{O}$  its maximal ideal,  
 $\mathcal{U} := \mathcal{O}^* = \mathcal{O} \setminus \mathfrak{m}$  the units,  $k(v) = \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{m}$  the residue class field  
 we denote

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{O} &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{m} = k(v) \\ u &\mapsto \bar{u} \end{aligned}$$

Prop:

[Mil, Lemma 2.1]

There exists a unique group hom  $\forall n \geq 1$

$$\partial: K_n^n(F) \rightarrow K_{n-1}^n(k(v))$$

RESIDUE MAP

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \{\pi, u_1, \dots, u_n\} \mapsto \{\bar{u}_1, \dots, \bar{u}_n\}$$

for  $n=1$ , this means that  $\{\pi\} \mapsto 1 \in \mathbb{Z} \cong K_0^n(F)$

when  $\pi$  is any uniformizer and  $u_i \in \mathcal{U}$ .

↳ the definition of  $\partial$  does not depend on a choice of  $\pi$ !

Proof: (Sketchy)

Uniqueness:  $K_n^n(F)$  is generated (as a group) by elements of the kind  
 $\{\pi\}^r \cdot \{u_{n+1}\} \dots \{u_n\}$  for  $r=0,1$ ,  $u_i \in \mathcal{U}$ .

Indeed it is generated by  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  with  $a_i \in F^\times$ .

Since  $F = F_{\text{rac}}(U)$ , then  $a_i = \pi^{e_i} u_i$  for some  $e_i \in \mathbb{Z}$   $u_i \in U$ .

Using linearity,  $\{\pi, \pi\} = \{\pi, -1\}$  and anticommultiplicity, we see

that we can take as generators elements of the above kind

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ex: } \{\pi^2 u, \pi^{-1}\} &= \{\pi^2, \pi^{-1}\} + \{u, \pi^{-1}\} \\ &\hookrightarrow = -\{\pi^{-1}, u\} = \{\pi, u\} \\ &\hookrightarrow = \{\pi, \pi^{-1}\} + \{\pi, \pi^{-1}\} = \\ &= -2\{\pi, \pi\} = -2\{\pi, -1\} \end{aligned}$$

For  $r=1$ , the image is unique by the statement of the prop.

For  $r=0$ , the image is zero:

$$\{u_1, \dots, u_n\} = \{u_1 \pi \pi^{-1}, \dots, u_n\} = \{u_1 \pi, \dots, u_n\} - \{\pi, \dots, u_n\} = 0$$

are 2 uniformizers! we are assuming that 2 doesn't depend on them.

Existence: It is proved constructing explicitly an  $n$ -bilinear function

$$\underbrace{F^\times \times \dots \times F^\times}_{n \text{ times}} \longrightarrow K_{n-1}^n(F^\times)$$

$$(\pi^{e_1} u_1, \dots, \pi^{e_n} u_n) \longmapsto \varphi \quad \text{which does not depend on } \pi!$$

$e_i \in \mathbb{Z} \quad u_i \in U$

$$\text{s.t. } (\pi, u_2, \dots, u_n) \longmapsto \{\bar{u}_2, \dots, \bar{u}_n\}$$

Then, it is proved that whenever two consecutive  $\pi^{e_i} u_i$  add to 1, then  $\varphi=0$ .

By the universal property of  $K_n^n(F)$ , this defines the wanted group law.

It works to explicit these maps for  $n=1, 2$ :

$$\bullet \text{ For } n=1, \text{ it is the valuation map } K_1^1(F) \xrightarrow{\partial} K_0^1(k(v))$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \uparrow \eta & & \parallel \\ \partial F^\times & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

$$a = \pi^i u \rightsquigarrow \{a\} = \{\pi^i u\} = i\{\pi\} + \{u\} \longmapsto i \cdot 1 + 0 = i = \nu(a)$$

• For  $n=2$ , it is s.t.

$$K_2^2(F) \xrightarrow{\partial} K_1^2(k(v))$$

$$\{\pi^i u_1, \pi^j u_2\} \longmapsto \{(-1)^{ij} \frac{\bar{u}_2^i}{\bar{u}_1^j}\}$$

is usually called "Tame Symbol"

$$\{\pi^i u_1, \pi^j u_2\} = \{\pi^i, \pi^j\} + \{\pi^i, u_2\} + \{u_1, \pi^j\} + \{u_1, u_2\} = ij \{\pi, -1\} + i \{\pi, u_2\} - j \{\pi, u_1\} + \{u_1, u_2\}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ ij \{-1\} & + & i \{\bar{u}_2\} & - & j \{\bar{u}_1\} & + & 0 = \{(-1)^{ij} \frac{\bar{u}_2^i}{\bar{u}_1^j}\} \end{array}$$

Putting the residue maps all together we get an hom of graded groups of deg  $-1$

$$\partial: K_*^n(F) \rightarrow K_*^n(k(v)).$$

From the residue map, we immediately define another group hom

$$s^\pi: K_n^{\pi}(F) \rightarrow K_n^{\pi}(k(v)) \quad \text{SPECIALIZATION MAP}$$

$$x \mapsto \partial(1-\pi)x$$

This map is less "natural" than the residue map since it depends on the choice of a uniformiser. Given  $\pi$  and  $\pi' = u\pi$  two different uniformisers, then the relation is:

$$s^{\pi'}(x) = s^\pi(x) - \{u\} \partial(x)$$

$$s^{\pi'}(x) = \partial(\{1-\pi'\} \cdot x) = \partial(\{1-u\pi\} \cdot x) = \partial(\{1-\pi\} \cdot x + \{u\} \cdot x) =$$

$$= \partial(\{1-\pi\} \cdot x) + \partial(\{u\} \cdot x) = s^\pi(x) - \{u\} \partial(x)$$

$$\{u\} \{1-\pi, u_2, \dots, u_n\} = \{u, \pi, u_2, \dots, u_n\} = -\{\pi, u, u_2, \dots, u_n\} \xrightarrow{\partial} -\{\bar{u}, \bar{u}_2, \dots, \bar{u}_n\} = -\{u\} \{\bar{u}_2, \dots, \bar{u}_n\}$$

Anyway it has some nice properties that  $\partial$  doesn't have.

For example, it respects the product in  $K_*^n(F)$ :  $\forall x \in K_m^{\pi}(F), y \in K_n^{\pi}(F)$

$$s^\pi(xy) = s^\pi(x) s^\pi(y), \quad \text{in } K_{m+n}^{\pi}(F)$$

So, putting the specialization maps all together, we get an hom of graded rings of deg 0

$$S^\pi: K_*^{\pi}(F) \rightarrow K_*^{\pi}(k(v)).$$

$$\text{It is s.t. } \{1-\pi, u_2, \dots, u_n\} \mapsto 0$$

$$\{u_1, \dots, u_n\} \mapsto \{\bar{u}_1, \dots, \bar{u}_n\}$$

$$\bullet \{1-\pi\} \{1-\pi, u_2, \dots, u_n\} = \{1-\pi, \pi\} \{u_2, \dots, u_n\} \xrightarrow{\partial} 0$$

$$\hookrightarrow = \{1, \pi\} + \{\pi, \pi\} = -\{\pi, 1\} + \{\pi, 1\} = 0$$

$$\bullet \{1-\pi\} \{u_1, \dots, u_n\} = \{1-\pi, u_1, \dots, u_n\} \xrightarrow{\partial} \{\bar{u}_1, \dots, \bar{u}_n\}$$

$\hat{=}$   
is a uniformiser!

[Ros, §1]

There are also a couple of other group laws on Hilbert  $K$ -theory that we will need for the notion of cycle modules.

They express functoriality in the change of the field  $F$ .

- Given  $\varphi: F \hookrightarrow E$  a field extension, we have an hom of graded rings of deg 0

$$\varphi_*: K_*^n(F) \rightarrow K_*^n(E)$$

PUSHFORWARD MAP

$$\text{s.t. } \{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \mapsto \{\varphi(a_1), \dots, \varphi(a_n)\}.$$

It would be better to call it a PULLBACK MAP (and denote it  $\varphi^*$ )

since it would be better to think geometrically  $\varphi$  as the morphism  $\text{Spec } E \rightarrow \text{Spec } F$ .

But we follow Rost's notation to avoid future confusion.

We easily see that  $\varphi_*$  is well defined and gives rise to an hom of graded rings.

- Given  $\varphi: F \hookrightarrow E$  a finite field extension, we have an hom of graded groups of deg 0:

$$\varphi^*: K_*^n(E) \rightarrow K_*^n(F).$$

PULLBACK (or NORM) MAP

The existence and characterizations are theorems of Bass, Tate and Kato.

It works to explicit these maps for  $n=0,1$ :

- For  $n=0$ , it is the multiplication by the degree of the field extension

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_0^n(E) & \xrightarrow{\varphi^*} & K_0^n(F) \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{[E:F]} & \mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

- For  $n=1$ , it is the norm map of the field extension  $E/F$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_1^n(E) & \xrightarrow{\varphi^*} & K_1^n(F) \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ E^\times & \xrightarrow{N_{E/F}} & F^\times \end{array}$$

Recall:  $N_{E/F}(a) := \det(M_a)$

where  $M_a: E \rightarrow E$   
 $b \mapsto ab$

is an  $F$ -linear map

## §2. CYCLE PREMODULES [Ros, §1]

Cycle modules are the coefficients that we use to construct Rost complex. We first define cycle premodules, which are quite natural notion of modules over Milnor  $K$ -theory. They are defined by a list of data and axioms. Then, cycle modules are a particular kind of cycle premodules which satisfy particular axioms.

Milnor  $K$ -theory is an example of a cycle module and is the coefficient from which we obtain classical Chow groups.

We first describe the setting:

- Fix  $k$  a field. By a scheme  $/k$  we mean a localization of a separated  $k$ -scheme of finite type  $/k$ .   
 (e.g. schemes of finite type  $/k$ )   
 This implies that all  $X$  schemes  $/k$  we consider are noetherian and  $\forall x \in X$  the residue field  $K(x)$  is a f.g. field extension of  $k$ .   
 We fix a base scheme  $B$ , which is a scheme  $/k$ .

[We can think:  $B = \text{Spec } k$ ,  $K/k$  f.g. extension, and schemes are schemes of finite type  $_K$ ]

- A field over  $B$  is a finitely generated field extension  $F/k$  with a morphism of  $k$ -schemes  $\text{Spec } F \rightarrow B$ . [In case  $B = \text{Spec } k$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(B)$  is the class of  $F/k$  f.g. field extensions]

We denote by  $\mathcal{F}(B)$  the class of fields over  $B$ .

A field extension over  $B$  is a field extension  $\varphi: F \hookrightarrow E$  with  $F, E \in \mathcal{F}(B)$

such that  $\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec } E & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec } F \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & B & \end{array}$  commutes.

- A valuation on a field over  $B$   $F \in \mathcal{F}(B)$  is a non trivial discrete valuation  $v$  on  $F$ , with a morphism of  $k$ -schemes  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O} \rightarrow B$ , and  $\mathcal{O}$  is the localization of an integral domain of finite type over  $k$  at a prime ideal of codim 1 (or equivalently,  $F/k$  and  $k(v)/k$  are finitely generated field extensions and  $\text{tr. deg}_k(F) = \text{tr. deg}_k(k(v)) + 1$ ).   
 i.e.  $v(F^\times) \neq \{0\}$    
 f.g. as a  $k$ -alg

This is exactly the situation we have in §3, coming from geometry:

$\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ ,  $x \in X^{(1)}$ , for  $X$  normal scheme  $/B$  is a DVR

$\Rightarrow \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}) = K(x)$  is a field endowed with a valuation over  $B$ .

in Rost's article are considered also the  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded abelian groups, but it's just a technicality that we won't need.

**Def.** A CYCLE PREORDER on  $B$  is a function

$$\Pi: \mathcal{F}(B) \rightarrow \text{Ab}^{\mathbb{Z}} = \text{class of } \mathbb{Z}\text{-graded abelian groups}$$

$$F \mapsto \Pi(F) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Pi_n(F)$$

with the following **data** and **axioms**:

(D1) For any  $\varphi: F \hookrightarrow E$  field extension over  $B$  there exists an hom of graded groups of deg 0:

$$\varphi_*: \Pi(F) \rightarrow \Pi(E) \quad \text{RESTRICTION MAP}$$

(D2) For any  $\varphi: F \hookrightarrow E$  finite field extension over  $B$  there exists an hom of graded groups of deg 0:

$$\varphi^*: \Pi(E) \rightarrow \Pi(F) \quad \text{CORESTRICTION MAP}$$

(D3) For any  $F \in \mathcal{F}(B)$ ,  $\Pi(F)$  is a graded left  $K_*^n(F)$ -module

(D4) For any  $v$  discrete valuation on  $F$  over  $B$ , there exists an hom of graded groups of deg -1:

$$\partial: \Pi(F) \rightarrow \Pi(k(v)) \quad \text{RESIDUE MAP}$$

Chosen a uniformizer  $\pi$ , we define the hom of graded groups of deg 0

$$\Sigma^\pi: \Pi(F) \rightarrow \Pi(k(v)) \quad \text{SPECIALIZATION MAP}$$

$$\xi \mapsto \partial(1-\pi)\xi$$

$\hookrightarrow$  scalar multiplication of (D3)

(R1) (Relations btw  $\varphi_*$  and  $\varphi^*$ )

"functoriality of  $\varphi_*, \varphi^*$ "

(a) For any  $F \xrightarrow{\varphi} E \xrightarrow{\psi} L$  field extensions over  $B$ ,

$$(\psi\varphi)_* = \psi_* \varphi_*$$

(b) For any  $F \xrightarrow{\varphi} E \xrightarrow{\psi} L$  finite field extensions over  $B$ ,

$$(\psi\varphi)^* = \varphi^* \psi^*$$

"base change formula"

(c) For any  $F \xrightarrow{\varphi} E \xrightarrow{\psi} L$  field extensions over  $B$ ,  $\varphi$  finite,

let  $R := L \otimes_F E$ ,  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$ ,  $l_{\mathfrak{p}} := \text{length}(R_{\mathfrak{p}})$ , = largest chain of ideals of  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$

$\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}}: E \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{p}$ ,  $\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}}: L \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{p}$  = largest chain of ideals of  $R$  contained in  $\mathfrak{p}$

$$\text{Then } \varphi_* \varphi^* = \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R} l_{\mathfrak{p}} \cdot \varphi_{\mathfrak{p}}^* \varphi_{\mathfrak{p}*}$$

Notice that any  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$  is a maximal ideal, hence  $R/\mathfrak{p}$  is a field over  $B$  with  $\text{Spec } R \rightarrow \text{Spec } E \rightarrow B$ .

Indeed, by Krull-Grothendieck formula,  $\dim(R) = \min(\text{tr.deg}_F(E), \text{tr.deg}_F(L)) = 0$   $\xrightarrow{\text{if } E/F \text{ is finite, hence algebraic}}$

(R2) (Relations btw  $\varphi_*,  $\varphi^*$  and scalar multiplication)$

" $\varphi_*$  is  $K_+^n$ -linear"

- (2) For any  $\varphi: F \hookrightarrow E$  field extension over  $B$ ,  $x \in K_+^n(F)$ ,  $y \in K_+^n(E)$ ,  $\xi \in \Pi(F)$ ,  $\mu \in \Pi(E)$ ,
- $$\varphi_*(x \cdot \xi) = \varphi_*(x) \cdot \varphi_*(\xi).$$

"Projection formulas"

- (b,c) For any  $\varphi: F \hookrightarrow E$  finite field extension over  $B$ ,  $x \in K_+^n(F)$ ,  $y \in K_+^n(E)$ ,  $\xi \in \Pi(F)$ ,  $\mu \in \Pi(E)$ ,
- $$\varphi^*(\varphi_*(x) \cdot \mu) = x \cdot \varphi^*(\mu)$$
- $$\varphi^*(y \cdot \varphi_*(\xi)) = \varphi^*(y) \cdot \xi.$$

(R3) (Relations btw  $\varphi_*$ ,  $\varphi^*$ , scalar multiplication and  $\partial$ )

- (a) For any  $\varphi: F \hookrightarrow E$  field extension over  $B$ ,  $w$  a discrete valuation on  $E$  over  $B$  which restricts to  $v := w|_F$  a discrete valuation on  $F$  over  $B$  with ramification index  $e$ , let  $\bar{\varphi}: k(v) \hookrightarrow k(w)$  be the field extension over  $B$  induced on residue class fields. Then

$$\partial_v \varphi_* = e \cdot \bar{\varphi}_* \partial_w.$$

Recall: we have

$$m_w \cap F = m_v \subset \mathcal{O}_v \subset F$$

$$\bigcap m_w \subset \bigcap \mathcal{O}_w \subset E$$

and  $m_w \mathcal{O}_w = m_v^e$   
 $e :=$  ramification index

- (b) For any  $\varphi: F \hookrightarrow E$  finite field extension over  $B$ ,  $v$  a discrete valuation on  $F$  over  $B$ ,  $w$  discrete valuations on  $E$  over  $B$  which extend  $v = w|_F$ , let  $\bar{\varphi}_w: k(v) \hookrightarrow k(w)$  be the field extensions over  $B$  induced on residue class fields. Then

$$\partial_v \varphi_* = \sum_w \bar{\varphi}_w^* \partial_w.$$

- (c) For any  $\varphi: F \hookrightarrow E$  field extension over  $B$ ,  $w$  a discrete valuation on  $E$  over  $B$ , if  $w|_F$  is trivial, then  $\partial_w \varphi_* = 0$ .

- (d) For any  $\varphi: F \hookrightarrow E$  field extension over  $B$ ,  $w$  a valuation on  $E$  over  $B$  s.t.  $w|_F$  is trivial, we have an induced field extension  $\tilde{\varphi}: E \rightarrow k(w)$ . Then, for any choice of a uniformizer  $\pi$ ,

$$s^\pi \varphi_* = \tilde{\varphi}_*.$$

This is why  $s^\pi$  is called specialisation map!

- (e) For any  $v$  discrete valuation on  $F$  over  $B$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $\xi \in \Pi(F)$ ,
- $$\partial(\{u\} \cdot \xi) = -\{u\} \cdot \partial(\xi).$$

The first example of cycle presheaf (and the only one important for us) is Milnor K-theory itself.

Thm:  
[Ros, Thm 1.4]

$$\text{Milnor K-theory } K_*^{\text{M}}: \mathcal{F}(B) \rightarrow \text{Ab}^{\mathbb{Z}}$$

$$F \mapsto K_*^{\text{M}}(F) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} K_n^{\text{M}}(F)$$

with (D1) = pushforward map

(D2) = pullback (or norm) map

(D3) = ring multiplication

(D4) = residue map

is a cycle presheaf.

Other examples are:

• Quillen K-theory of fields:  $F \mapsto K_*(F)$

• Galois cohomology:

• de Rham cohomology:  $F \mapsto H_{\text{dR}}^*(F/B)$

Milnor and Quillen K-theory of fields are absolute theories, we don't need the base scheme B, we can think  $B = \text{Spec } k$ .

These are relative theories, we really need a base scheme B!

"sheaf" cohomology of the sheaf complex  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{Spec } F/B}$

The notion of cycle presheaves comes with a natural def of morphisms btw them.

Def: A morphism of cycle presheaves  $\alpha: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  is a collection of group hom for each  $F \in \mathcal{F}(B)$

$$\alpha_F: \mathcal{M}(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(F)$$

s.t. they satisfy the obvious compatibilities with data (D1)-(D4).

$$(1) \quad \varphi_* \alpha_F = \alpha_E \varphi_*$$

$$(2) \quad \varphi^* \alpha_F = \alpha_E \varphi^*$$

$$(3) \quad \{a\}_* \alpha_F = \alpha_E (\{a\}_* -)$$

$$(4) \quad \partial \alpha_F = \alpha_{k(F)} \partial$$

### § 3. CYCLE MODULES [Ros, §2]

Cycle modules or cycle premodules with 2 additional axioms that allow to define the Rost complex.

First we fix some notations: (we also keep the conventions from §2.)

- $\mathcal{O}_X$  will always denote a cycle premodule over  $B$ .
  - let  $X$  be a scheme /  $B$ . For any point  $x \in X$ , the residue field  $K(x)$  is a field over  $B$ . We denote  $\mathcal{O}_x := \mathcal{O}_x(K(x))$ .
  - let  $X$  be a scheme /  $B$ . A point  $x \in X$  has:
    - dimension  $p$ , if  $\dim \overline{\{x\}} = p$ . We denote  $X_{(p)} :=$  set of points of  $X$  of dim  $p$ .
    - codimension  $p$ , if  $\dim \mathcal{O}_{x,x} = p$ . " "  $X^{(p)} :=$  " " " " " codim  $p$ .
  - If  $X$  is irreducible, we denote by  $\xi_X$  its generic point. It is st.  $\overline{\{\xi_X\}} = X$ .  
 *$\mathcal{O}_{\xi_X}$  is normal ring &  $X$  is irreducible*
- Recall that if  $X$  is normal, then any point  $x \in X$  of codimension 1,  $x \in X^{(1)}$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_{x,x}$  is a DVR over  $B$ .  *$\rightarrow$  b/c it is a local noetherian normal ring of dim 1*
- So,  $\text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_{x,x}) = K(\xi_X)$  is a field with a discrete valuation over  $B$ , whose residue field class is  $K(x)$ .

We define the residue map for  $K(\xi_x)$  with

$$\partial_x: \mathcal{M}(\xi_x) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(x)$$

- For any  $X$  scheme/ $B$ , given two points  $x, y \in X$ , let  $Z := \overline{\{x\}}$ .  
We define the group law
- $$\partial_y^x : \Pi(x) \rightarrow \Pi(y)$$
- s.t.
- $$\partial_y^x := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } y \notin Z^{(1)} \\ \partial_y & \text{if } y \in Z^{(1)} \text{ and } Z \text{ is normal} \\ \sum_{z \in \pi^{-1}(y)} \pi_z^* \partial_z & \text{if } y \in Z^{(1)} \text{ and } Z \text{ is not normal,} \end{cases}$$
- Notice that the source and the target coincide bc the residue field at  $x \in Z \subset X$  is the same computed in  $Z$  or  $X$ !
- We consider the reduced scheme structure.  $Z$  is an irreducible scheme/ $B$  with generic point  $x$ .  
So,  $Z$  is an integral scheme.
- this case is in fact included in the next ( $\pi = \text{id}$ )
- where  $\pi: \tilde{Z} \rightarrow Z$  is a normalization  $\rightarrow$  makes sense bc  $Z$  is integral!
- and  $\forall z \in \pi^{-1}(y), \pi_z^*: K(y) \hookrightarrow K(z)$  is the induced field extension

Notice that this is a finite sum b/c  $\pi^{-1}(y)$  is finite.

Indeed,  $\pi$  is s.t.  $\forall U \cong \text{Spec } A \subset \mathbb{A}^n$  affine open subset,  $\pi^{-1}(U) \cong \text{Spec } \bar{A}$ , where  $\bar{A}$  is the integral closure of  $A$ . The ring here  $A \subset \bar{A}$  is finite because it is integral and finitely generated, hence fibres are finite.

b/c  $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow A \subset \bar{A} \hookrightarrow \text{Frac}(A) = K(x)$  is a f.g. field extension

Def: A cycle premodule  $M$  over  $B$  is a **cycle module** if it satisfies the following properties

(FD) (FINITE SUPPORT OF DIVISOR)

enables  
to define  
differentials  $d$   
in Rost complex

For any  $X$  normal scheme/ $B$ ,  $\mu \in M(\mathbb{A}_X^1)$ ,

$$\partial_x(\mu) \neq 0 \quad \text{for finitely many } x \in X^{(1)}$$

Notice that  $\mu$  is fixed! This is different to ask that  $\partial_x = 0$  for finitely many  $x \in X^{(1)}$ .

Notice that (FD) implies that, for any  $X$  scheme/ $B$ ,  $x, y \in X$ ,  $\mu \in M(x)$

$$\partial_y^x(\mu) \neq 0 \quad \text{for finitely many } y \in X.$$

(C) (CLOSEDNESS)

guarantees  
that  $d^2 = 0$   
in Rost  
complex

$\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  is an integral domain  $\forall x \in X$  &  $X$  is irreducible  
||  
has just 1 closed point

For any  $X$  integral, local scheme/ $B$  of dim 2, the composition

$$M(\mathbb{A}_X^1) \xrightarrow{\sum_{x \in X^{(1)}} \partial_x^{\mathbb{A}_X^1}} \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(1)}} M(x) \xrightarrow{\sum_{x \in X^{(1)}} \partial_x^x} M(x_0)$$

this lands in the  $\oplus$   
b/c of the observation  
following (FD)

this is defined by the  
univ. prop. of the  $\oplus$

is 0, where  $x_0 \in X$  is the only closed point of  $X$ .

We also have a notion of morphism, s.t. cycle modules form a full subcategory of cycle premodules.

Def: A **morphism of cycle modules** is a morphism b/w the underlying cycle premodules.

From these two additional axioms can be deduced the following properties.

Prop:

[Ros, Prop 2.2]

gives homotopy property on Rost groups

For any  $\mathbb{A}^1$  cycle module over  $B$ ,  $F$  field over  $B$ ,

(H) (HOMOTOPY PROPERTY FOR  $\mathbb{A}^1$ )

Consider  $\mathbb{A}_F^1 = \text{Spec}(F[t])$ . It is an irreducible  $F_{\text{ind}}(F[t])$  scheme /  $B$  with generic point  $\xi = (0)$ , s.t.  $K(\xi) = F(\xi)$ .

By axiom (FD), we have the morphism  $\rightarrow$  more precisely, the description after. We need it to say that  $d$  lands in the  $\oplus$

$$d := \sum_{x \in \mathbb{A}_F^1(0)} \partial_x^{\xi} : H(F(t)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in \mathbb{A}_F^1(0)} H(x)$$

Denote by  $\varphi: F \hookrightarrow F(t)$  the field extension.

Then the following sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H(F) \xrightarrow{\varphi_*} H(F(t)) \xrightarrow{d} \bigoplus_{x \in \mathbb{A}_F^1(0)} H(x) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

(RC) (RECIPROCITY FOR CURVES)

we need it to define pushforward map

Let  $X$  be a proper curve over  $F$ .  $X \rightarrow \text{Spec } F$  is proper, dim 1 & irreducible

By axiom (FD), we have the morphism  $\rightarrow$  same considerations as above

$$d := \sum_{x \in X(0)} \partial_x^{\xi_x} : H(\xi_x) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X(0)} H(x)$$

For any  $x \in X(0)$ , denote by  $\varphi_x: F \hookrightarrow K(x)$  the field extension.

Then the following composition

$$H(\xi_x) \xrightarrow{d} \bigoplus_{x \in X(0)} H(x) \xrightarrow{\sum_{x \in X(0)} \varphi_x^*} H(F)$$

is 0.

[Ros, Rmk 2.7]

The homotopy property gives a motivation of why Milnor K-theory is a good choice as being a good ring of scalars for cycle modules.

More precisely, it is reasonable to ask that  $\pi(F)$  is a graded  $T^*F^{\times}$ -module. <sup>known ring of  $F^{\times}$</sup>  We see why it's also good to ask why it passes to the Steinberg relations.

For any  $\varphi: F \hookrightarrow E$  field extension over  $B$ ,  $\alpha \in E^{\times}$   $\alpha \neq 1$ , we consider

$$\eta: \pi(F) \rightarrow \pi(E) \\ \xi \mapsto \{\alpha, 1-\alpha\} \cdot \varphi_*(\xi)$$

We want to deduce that assuming some reasonable properties on  $\pi$  (such as, reasonable specialization maps and homotopy property) then

$\eta=0$  for  $\varphi = \text{id}_F$ . Then,  $\pi(F)$  passes to the Steinberg relations and so it is a graded module on Milnor K-theory.

The way we prove this is by reducing to the case  $E = F(t)$ .

In the case  $E = F(t)$ , we use homotopy invariance to deduce  $\eta=0$ .

Again, the first example is Milnor K-theory.

Thm: | Milnor K-theory  $K_*^n$  is a cycle module.

[Ros, Rmk 2.4]

Proof: (Very sketchy)

(FD) is a known fact of classical algebra

(H) was proved by Milnor in [Mil]

(RC) for  $X = \mathbb{P}^1$  is intrinsic in the def of norm map

(C) was proved by Kato using (RC) by passing through completions.

## §4. THE FOUR BASIC MAPS

The idea is that, given  $X, Y$  schemes /  $B$ ,  $\Pi$  a cycle module /  $B$ , we would like to define a family of reasonable maps

$X \rightarrow Y$  called "generalized correspondences"

which are group hom  $\rightarrow$  not graded! i.e. they don't have to respect the grading given by  $P$ .

$$C_*(X; \Pi) \rightarrow C_*(Y; \Pi)$$

where  $C_*(X; \Pi) = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} C_p(X; \Pi)$  is the Rost complex associated to  $X$  and  $\Pi$ .

These maps are a combination of sums and compositions of the "4 basic maps" we are going to define.

The reasonable map will also have some additional conditions that say that intuitively they are "morphisms of complexes" (indeed the condition is a (anti)commutativity with the differentials of Rost complex, depending on the "sign" of the map) we are going to see all the definitions. The first thing to define is Rost complex. But first we need some notation.

Notation: Given  $\Pi, N$  cycle modules /  $B$ ,  $X, Y$  schemes /  $B$ ,  $X' \subset X$  and  $Y' \subset Y$  subsets, for a group hom

$$w: \bigoplus_{x \in X'} \Pi(x) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{y \in Y'} N(y)$$

we denote by  $w_y^x: \Pi(x) \rightarrow N(y)$  its components, for  $x \in X', y \in Y'$ .

These are sufficient to describe  $w$  b/c

by universal property of  $\bigoplus$  and  $\Pi$  they induce a group hom

$$w: \bigoplus_{x \in X'} \Pi(x) \rightarrow \Pi(\bigcup_{y \in Y'} y)$$

models the condition given in (FD)'.  $\leftarrow$  The fact that  $\alpha$  bounds the fact in the  $\bigoplus$  tells that these group hom  $w_y^x$  have the property: Fixed  $\xi \in \Pi(x)$ ,  $w_y^x(\xi) \neq 0$  for finitely many  $y \in Y'$   $\otimes$

|| so if we want to give  $w$  via  $w_y^*$ , we need to check this property!

Def: Given  $\Pi$  a cycle module /  $B$ ,  $X$  a scheme /  $B$ , for any integer  $p \geq 0$ , we define

$$C_p(X; \Pi) := \bigoplus_{x \in X_{(p)}} \Pi(x) \quad \leftarrow \text{indexed by dimension!}$$

$d \downarrow$

$$C_{p-1}(X; \Pi) := \bigoplus_{y \in X_{(p-1)}} \Pi(y)$$

$$\text{s.t. } d_y^* := \partial_y^*$$

recall was defined with codimension 1 points!

Notice that her definition makes sense b/c by axiom (FD),  $\partial_y^*$  satisfy property  $\otimes$

$C_*(X; \Pi) := \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} C_p(X; \Pi)$  with the group endomorphism  $d$  is called the cycle (or nst) complex of  $X$  with coefficients in  $\Pi$ .

This is in fact a complex:

Lemma:  $d \circ d = 0$ , i.e.  $(C_*(X; \Pi), d)$  is a chain complex.

[Ros, lemma 3.3]

Proof:

Consider 
$$d \circ d: C_{p+1}(X; \Pi) \rightarrow C_p(X; \Pi) \rightarrow C_{p-1}(X; \Pi)$$
  

$$\bigoplus_{x \in X_{(p+1)}} \Pi(x) \quad \bigoplus_{y \in X_{(p)}} \Pi(y) \quad \bigoplus_{z \in X_{(p-1)}} \Pi(z)$$

We prove that  $(d \circ d)_z^* = 0 \quad \forall z \in X_{(p-1)}$ .

$$(d \circ d)_z^*: \Pi(x) \xrightarrow{\sum \partial_y^*} \bigoplus_{y \in X_{(p)}} \Pi(y) \xrightarrow{\sum \partial_z^*} \Pi(z)$$

If  $z \notin \overline{\{x\}}$ , then, for any  $y \in X_{(p)}$  we have the following cases:

(i)  $y \in \overline{\{x\}}$ . Then  $z \notin \overline{\{y\}}$   $\rightarrow$  otherwise  $z \in \overline{\{y\}} \subset \overline{\{x\}}$

$\&$   $\partial_z^* = 0$ , thus  $(d \circ d)_z^* = 0$ .

(ii)  $y \notin \overline{\{x\}}$ . Then  $\partial_y^* = 0$ , thus  $(d \circ d)_z^* = 0$ .

Otherwise, consider the case  $z \in \overline{\{x\}}$ . Let  $Y := \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\{x\}}, z}$ .

let  $X$  be a scheme.

$P \in \text{Spec } A$

Indeed, for any  $x \in U \subset X$ ,  $\overline{\{x\}} \subset U$  and

$$\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{X, x} = \text{Spec } A_P = \{ q \in \text{Spec } A \mid P \subset q \} \Leftrightarrow q \in V(P) = \overline{\{x\}}^U = \overline{\{x\}} = \{ y \in U \mid y \in \overline{\{x\}} \}$$

we consider an  $\overline{\{x\}}$  the reduced scheme structure, so  $\overline{\{x\}}$  is an integral scheme.

for every  $Z, Z' \in X$  closed irreducible,  
any maximal chain of closed irreducible  
b/w  $Z$  and  $Z'$  are all of the same length.

||

The conditions we considered on schemes imply that  $X$  is catenary, so:

$$\dim Y = \dim \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\{x\}}, x} = 2$$

$$X_{(p)} \cap Y = Y_{(1)} = Y^{(1)}$$

bc  $Y$  is equidimensional

Recall:  $X$  is catenary  $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{x,n}$  is catenary  $\forall x \in X$   
 $\downarrow$   
 $Z$  is catenary  
 $\forall Z \in X$  closed

Notice that  $Y$  is integral, bc  $\overline{\{x\}}$  is, with generic point  $x$ .  
 $Y_{(1)}$  is local, with closed point  $z$ .

Then, we can apply axiom (C) to  $Y$ . The composition

$$\pi(x) \xrightarrow{\sum \partial_i^x} \bigoplus_{y \in Y^{(1)}} \pi(y) \xrightarrow{\sum \partial_i^y} \pi(z)$$

is 0.

The components of this composition are exactly the  $(d \circ d)_i^x$  in the case we are considering, hence they are all = 0.



A remarkable fact is that a morphism of cycle modules  $X$   
 $d: M \rightarrow N$

induces a group hom b/w the corresponding Post complexes:

$$d_{\#}: C_*(X; M) \rightarrow C_*(X; N)$$

given by the  $\oplus$  of

$$d_{\#}: C_p(X; M) \rightarrow C_p(X; N)$$

$$\bigoplus_{x \in X_{(p)}} M(x) \quad \bigoplus_{y \in X_{(p)}} N(y)$$

$$\text{s.t. } (d_{\#})_y^x := \begin{cases} d_{\#}(x) & \text{if } x=y \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \quad d_{\#}(x): M(x) \rightarrow N(x)$$

Notice that  $\oplus$  is disjoint bc  $\forall x \in X_{(p)} \forall y \in M(x)$

$$(d_{\#})_y^x(i) \neq 0 \text{ only for } y=x \in X_{(p)}$$

This is just a functoriality of the Post complex, is not one of the 4 basic maps, that we now define.

From now on,  $M$  is always a cycle module/B.

Def. Given  $g: X \rightarrow Y$  a morphism of schemes /  $B$ , we define the group law

$$g_*: C_*(X; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_*(Y; \mathbb{R})$$

PUSHFORWARD MAP

given by the  $\oplus$  of

$$g_*: C_p(X; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_p(Y; \mathbb{R})$$

$$\bigoplus_{x \in X(p)} \mathbb{R}(x)$$

$$\bigoplus_{y \in Y(p)} \mathbb{R}(y)$$

this is always true when  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is finite!

Ross doesn't ask that the pushforward maps should be defined just for finite morphisms, but this ↑ finiteness condition

$$\text{s.t. } (g_*)^x_y = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y = f(x) \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

$\varphi: k(y) \hookrightarrow k(x)$ , is present here the field extension /  $B$  induced by  $g$ , is finite

notice that  $\oplus$  is satisfied b/c  $\forall x \in X(p), \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}(x)$

$(f_*)^x_y(\xi)$  is only for  $y = f(x) \in Y(p)$ , in case  $k(x)/k(y)$  is finite

fibers are  $\emptyset$  or equidimensional of dim  $s$

"

Def. Given  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  a morphism of schemes /  $B$  of constant relative dim  $s$ , we define the group law,

$$f^*: C_*(X; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_*(Y; \mathbb{R})$$

PULLBACK MAP

given by the  $\oplus$  of

$$f^*: C_p(X; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_{p+s}(Y; \mathbb{R})$$

which are defined as follows.

We do a more general construction that will be useful in future talks.

Given any  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  morphism of schemes /  $B$ , let

$$s(f) := \max \{ \dim(y) - \dim(f(y)) \mid y \in Y \}$$

(Notice that for  $f$  of constant relative dim  $s$ ,  $s(f) = s$ ).

let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a coherent sheaf /  $Y$ .

For any  $x \in X$ , let  $Y_x = Y \times_x \text{Spec } K(x)$  denote the fiber.

For any  $y \in Y_x^{(0)}$  we define the integer

$$[\mathcal{A}, f]_y^x := \text{length}_R(\tilde{\mathcal{A}})$$

where  $R := \mathcal{O}_{Y_x, y}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  is the  $f.g.$   $R$ -module given by

the pullback of  $\mathcal{A}$  along  $\text{Spec } R = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{Y_x, y} \rightarrow Y_x \rightarrow Y$ .

is an artinian ring b/c has dim 0  $\rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$   $f.g.$   $R$ -mod has finite length

For any integer  $s \geq s(g)$ , we define the group hom

$$[A, f, s]: C_p(X; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_{p+s}(Y; \mathbb{R})$$

s.t.

$$[A, f, s]_y^x := \begin{cases} [A, g]_y^x \cdot \varphi_* & \text{if } f(y) = x, \text{ where } \varphi: k(x) \hookrightarrow k(y) \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

is the field extension induced by  $f$

Notice that  $\otimes$  is satisfied b/c  $\forall x \in X \quad \forall g \in \Pi(x)$

$$[A, f, s]_y^x(g) \neq 0 \quad \text{only for } f(y) = x$$

We define  $f^*$  above as

$$f^* := [0, f, s].$$

Def: Given  $X$  a scheme /  $B$ ,  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{O}(X)^\times$  global units, we define the group hom

$$\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}: C_*(X; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_*(X; \mathbb{R}) \quad \text{MULTIPLICATION BY UNITS}$$

given by the  $\oplus$  of

$$\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}: C_p(X; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_p(X; \mathbb{R})$$

$$\bigoplus_{x \in X_{(p)}} \Pi(x) \quad \bigoplus_{y \in X_{(p-1)}} \Pi(y)$$

s.t.

$$(\{a_1, \dots, a_n\})_y^x = \begin{cases} \{a_1(x), \dots, a_n(x)\} \cdot \text{---} & \text{for } x=y, \text{ where } \mathcal{O}(X)^\times \rightarrow k(x)^\times \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

$a_i \mapsto a_i(x)$

Notice that  $\otimes$  is satisfied b/c  $\forall x \in X \quad \forall g \in \Pi(x)$

$$(\{a_1, \dots, a_n\})_y^x(g) \neq 0 \quad \text{only for } y=x$$

Def: Given  $X$  a scheme /  $B$ ,  $i: Y \hookrightarrow X$  closed immersion, let  $j: U := X - i(Y) \hookrightarrow X$  be the complementary open immersion.  $Y$  and  $U$  are also schemes /  $B$ .

We define the group hom

$$\partial_Y^U: C_*(U; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_*(Y; \mathbb{R}) \quad \text{BOUNDARY MAPS}$$

given by the  $\oplus$  of

$$\partial_Y^u: C_p(U; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_{p-1}(Y; \mathbb{R})$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \oplus \mathbb{R}(x) & & \oplus \mathbb{R}(y) \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ x \in U_{cp} & & y \in Y_{cp} \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ x \in p^{-1}U & & x \in p^{-1}Y \end{array}$$

→ indeed the dimension doesn't depend on the ambient space!

s.t.  $(\partial_Y^u)^n = \partial_Y^n \rightarrow$  the same that define the differential of the Novikov complex!

All these operations that we defined, for the moment are just group laws btw the Novikov complexes. In the following talk we will see some compatibilities with the differential  $d$  (they commute or anticommute with  $d$ , depending on a notion of sign).

This tells that they are also morphisms of chain complexes, and so will induce morphisms on homology groups, which we will also see in the next talk.